Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to all of those who helped in my work on this document. They are listed below. Any errors are mine.

I interviewed 30 faculty, staff and students. Most interviews lasted an hour; some were shorter, some longer: Sharon Anthony, Don Bantz, Sally Cloninger, Laura Coghlan, Rob Cole, Thad Curtz, Jin Darney, Emily Decker, Russ Fox, Ruth Hayes, Steve Hunter, Rob Knapp, Phyllis Lane, Lee Lyttle, David Marr, Stu Matz, Greg Mullins, Louis Nadelson, Chuck Pailthorp, Kitty Parker, David Paulsen, Susan Preciso, Brian Price, Bill Ransom, Kelli Sanger, Matt Smith, Nancy Taylor, Sherry Walton, Sonja Wiedenhaupt, and Sandra Yannone.

Jeannie Chandler, Emily Decker, Walter Niemiec, Brian Price, and Nancy Taylor critiqued an early outline of the structure and major arguments of this paper.

Audrey Streeter scanned numerous documents.

Barbara Smith critiqued a draft of Section One of the document.

Jeannie Chandler, Thad Curtz, Emily Decker, Jin Darney, Steve Hunter, Phyllis Lane, David Marr, Kitty Parker, Brian Price, Enrique Riveros-Schäfer, Sam Schrager and Nancy Taylor critiqued a draft or drafts of this document.

John McCann

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Section One: Historical Context: Debates on the Nature and Purpose of Evergreen	
"Decide What Kind of an Institution You Want This to Be". The Four No's "The Evergreen State College Is a Survivor"	6 10 15 16 18
0001001 11100 1210 001012 112 2 11100112011 2 000100	
The Charge to the DTF Retrospective Comments on the Debate The DTF's Work: Year One The DTF's Work: Year Two General Education Assessment	21 22 25
Section Three: A Continuum of Experiments and Works in Progress	
Example One: "How Can You Tell an American" Example Two: Part-Time Studies Forums Example Three: "Science of Mind" Example Four: Culture, Context, and Human Rights Example Six: "Talkin' Trash" Example Seven: "Forms of Nature" Example Eight: Writing Center and Quantitative Reasoning Center Example Nine: Academic Advising	37 40 43 47 50 54
Section Four: Recommendations	
Recommendation One: Summer Program Planning Institutes Recommendation Two: Summer Quantitative Reasoning Institutes Recommendation Three: Summer Writing Institutes Recommendation Four: Summer "Hands-On" Arts Institutes Recommendation Five: Release Time for Science Program Planning Recommendation Six: Continuing What We Already Do Recommendation Seven: Annual Advising Conferences Recommendation Eight: Assessment and Reporting	65 65 65 66 66 66
Recommendation Nine: Role of Academic Advising Office	70

Section Four continued

Recommendation Ten: Administrative Oversight for General Education	70					
Recommendation Eleven: Small Grants for General Education Implementation						
Recommendation Twelve: Syllabi that Reflect the Actual Work of the Program						
Diagrams						
Program Planning Process Incorporating General Education	67					
Annual Advising Conferences						
Assessment and Reporting	69					
Role of the Academic Advising Office in Implementing General Education	71					
Administrative Oversight Responsibility for General Education	72					
Appendices						
Appendix One: Academic Identity	i					
Appendix Two: The Five Foci of Evergreen Education	ii					
Appendix Three: Goals of the Learning Resource Center for 2001–2002	v					
Appendix Four: Services for Students and Faculty	vii					
Appendix Five: Guidelines, Small Grants for General Education						
Implementation in Programs	xiii					