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## Executive Summary

The Evergreen State College Office of Institutional Research and Assessment, in coordination with Enrollment Services, developed a survey of students admitted to Evergreen for Fall 2003, but who chose not to enroll at Evergreen fall or winter quarter. This survey was intended to elucidate reasons that prospective students chose not to attend Evergreen.

A total of 1,248 prospective students were sampled from a population of 1,498 . Forty-six percent of the sample responded to the survey; the total number of respondents was $39 \%$ of the population. The survey was administered via e-mail to those prospective students in the sample who had a preferred e-mail address in the BANNER. All applicants who had not responded to the e-mail or who did not have a preferred e-mail address were then contacted via telephone. Thirty percent of the respondents responded via e-mail, while $70 \%$ responded via telephone.

## Decision Not to Attend Evergreen

Survey interviewers asked respondents the open-ended question, "Why did you decide not to attend The Evergreen State College?" An analysis of all of the responses resulted in the creation of nine broad categories, and 40 specific categories that can be "rolled up" into the broad categories.

The most common responses for nonresidents were to cite at least one reason within the broad categories of financial reasons ( $43 \%$ ), geographic reasons ( $22 \%$ ) and general preference for another institution (21\%). The most common responses for Washington residents fit within the broad categories of general preference for another institution (26\%), financial reasons (24\%), and academics ( $23 \%$ ). Nonresidents cited financial reasons more frequently than residents with $43 \%$ of nonresidents citing at least one financial reason for not attending Evergreen compared to $24 \%$ of residents.

## Reasons to Apply to Evergreen

Survey interviewers asked students why they chose to apply to Evergreen. Academic reasons were the most commonly cited reasons for applying to Evergreen. Sixty-two percent of nonresidents and 47\% of residents mentioned at least one academic reason for applying to Evergreen.

The second most commonly mentioned set of reasons was a general reply that Evergreen is a good school or that they heard it was a good school from friends and family. About one-third of nonresident respondents and $28 \%$ of residents had comments that fit within this broad category.

## Evergreen's Ranking Among Other Choices for College

Respondents were asked how Evergreen ranked among their choices for schools. The most common response ( $33 \%$ of all respondents) was that Evergreen ranked as a third or fourth choice. Thirteen percent of respondents ranked Evergreen their only choice ( $11 \%$ of nonresidents compared to $13 \%$ of residents). Twenty-three percent of respondents said that Evergreen was their first choice ( $25 \%$ of nonresidents compared to $22 \%$ of residents). Twentyfive percent of all respondents said that Evergreen was a second choice ( $23 \%$ of nonresidents and $28 \%$ of residents). Finally only $6 \%$ of respondents said that Evergreen ranked fifth or more among choices for school.

## Attendance at Other Institutions

Respondents were asked whether they were currently attending or planning to attend another college in the near future. The majority of all respondents were attending another college or university; 74\% of nonresidents and $60 \%$ of residents reported attendance at another
institution. Eighteen percent of nonresidents and $25 \%$ of residents were planning to attend in the future.

The greatest number of prospective students from the admit/no enroll population ended up attending Western Washington University, according to a combination of survey responses and data obtained from the National Student Clearinghouse to nonrespondents. The greatest number of nonresidents was attending the University of California - Santa Cruz, while the greatest number of residents was attending Western Washington University.

## Cost of Attendance

More residents than nonresidents indicated that they were attending an institution that is more expensive than Evergreen, $42 \%$ of residents compared to $29 \%$ of nonresidents. Conversely, more nonresidents indicated that the institution that they were attending was less expensive than Evergreen ( $52 \%$ of nonresidents compared to $27 \%$ of residents).

## First-time First-year Students and Transfer Students

Financial reasons for not attending Evergreen were cited more often by nonresidents than residents among first-time, first year students and transfer students. More first-time, firstyear students had a general preference for another institution than transfer students. Transfer students were more likely to cite personal circumstances as reasons not to attend. Social reasons appeared to be more important to first-time, first-years than transfer students.

Nonresident transfers appear to be the most sensitive to cost with over $50 \%$ reporting that cost was very important or the most important factor in their decision not to attend Evergreen. Nonresident first-time, first-years appear to be the next most sensitive group, with a little over 40\% reporting cost to be very important to the most important factor. Residents, whether first-time, first-years or transfers, were less sensitive to the cost of attending Evergreen. About $26 \%$ of resident transfers reported the cost of attendance to be very important or the most important factor, while about $17 \%$ of resident first-time, first years reported cost to be very important to the most important factor in choosing not to attend Evergreen.

## Prospective Students Who Ranked Evergreen As Their First or Only Choice

Respondents who ranked Evergreen their first or only choice most frequently cited financial reasons in their decision not to enroll at Evergreen. Forty-eight percent of these respondents cited at least one financial reason for choosing not to attend compared to $28 \%$ of respondents who ranked Evergreen second, third or fourth, or fifth or more.

Sixty-two percent of nonresidents who ranked Evergreen their first or only choice cited at least one financial reason for choosing not to attend compared to $43 \%$ of the respondents who ranked Evergreen second or lower. Differences were not as great between residents. Twentyseven percent of residents who ranked Evergreen their first or only choice cited at least one financial reason compared to $21 \%$ of residents who ranked Evergreen their second choice or lower.

## General Preference for Other Institution

Twenty-three percent of all respondents, $21 \%$ of nonresidents, and $26 \%$ of residents gave a general preference for another institution as a reason for not attending Evergreen. This may indicate that more residents were using Evergreen as a "backup" institution, in case they did not get into their preferred institution. There were also prospective students who said that they just generally fit better at another institution. A few said that they decided to go to community college first or to stay at the institution they were already attending.

General Preferences for Other Institutions

|  | Percent of <br> Respondents <br> $\mathrm{N}=578$ | Percent of <br> Nonresidents <br> $\mathrm{N}=304$ | Percent of <br> Residents <br> $\mathrm{N}=258$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Got into first choice/Evergreen just a <br> backup | $12.5 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| Fit other institution better/just chose <br> other institution | $6.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Decided to go to community college first | $2.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Decided to stay at current school | $1.6 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |

## Geographic Reasons

Twenty percent of all respondents, $22 \%$ of nonresidents, and $19 \%$ of residents gave at least one geographic reason for not attending Evergreen. Most of the prospective students that cited a geographic reason said that Evergreen was too far from home or that commuting to Evergreen would be problematic. About $14 \%$ of nonresidents said that Evergreen is too far from home, compared to $12 \%$ of residents.

Specific Geographic Reasons for Not Attending

|  | Percent of <br> Respondents <br> $\mathrm{N}=578$ | Percent of <br> Nonresidents <br> $\mathrm{N}=304$ | Percent of <br> Residents <br> $\mathrm{N}=258$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evergreen is too far from home/commuting | $13.5 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| issues | $3.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Evergreen is too close to home | $1.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Wanted to live in a city/bigger urban area | $1.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Did not like general location of Evergreen | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Not able to visit | $0.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Did not like weather |  |  |  |

## Academic Reasons

Nineteen percent of all respondents, $15 \%$ of nonresidents and $23 \%$ of residents, cited academic reasons for deciding not to attend Evergreen. Academic reasons included the inability to study in a field that the prospective student was interested in, weaknesses in particular fields of study at Evergreen, Evergreen's academic reputation, a lack of structure, a lack of specific majors or degrees, a dislike of or being unsure of the structure of programs, an inability to get into academic program(s) or independent contract(s), written evaluations instead of grades, family pressure not to attend based on reputation, conflicts in class schedules and/or inflexible timing of programs/courses, a perception that Evergreen students are unable to get accepted into a graduate school or transfer credits from Evergreen, and being unsure of or disliking the teaching and learning styles of Evergreen.

Specific Academic Reasons for Not Attending

|  | Percent of <br> Respondents <br> $\mathrm{N}=578$ | Percent of <br> Nonresidents <br> $\mathrm{N}=304$ | Percent of <br> Residents <br> $\mathrm{N}=258$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Not able to study in field interested in or <br> Evergreen not strong enough in particular field | $7.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| Did not like Evergreen's academic reputation | $2.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Evergreen not structured enough <br> Does not offer specific majors or degrees | $2.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Did not like structure of programs | $1.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Could not get into academic program(s) of <br> choice or independent contract | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Lack of interesting academic programs/not <br> enough options | $1.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Did not like written evaluations instead of <br> grades | $1.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Family did not want to attend or wanted them <br> to attend another institution | $0.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Conflicts with class schedules/lack of <br> flexibility in program schedules | $0.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Worried that would not be able to get <br> admitted to graduate school or transfer <br> Evergreen credits to other institutions | $0.9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Not sure would like Evergreen's <br> teaching/learning style | $0.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |

About $8 \%$ of all respondents $(\mathrm{N}=44)$ indicated that they were not able to study in the field that they were interested in or that Evergreen was not strong enough in a particular field.
Respondents most frequently mentioned fields in the arts and sciences as being better at a different institution, not available in terms of a major or degree program, or not strong enough as a field of study at Evergreen. Specific fields are listed in Appendix A.

## Personal Circumstances

Fourteen percent of all respondents indicated personal reasons for not attending Evergreen, with $11 \%$ of nonresidents and $17 \%$ of residents citing at least one personal circumstance as a reason that they chose not to attend. About $13 \%$ of residents cited personal or family reasons for not attending compared to about 4\% of nonresidents.

Specific Reasons Not to Attend Related to Personal Circumstances

|  | Percent of <br> Respondents <br> $\mathrm{N}=578$ | Percent of ALL <br> Nonresidents <br> $\mathrm{N}=304$ | Percent of <br> ALL Residents <br> $\mathrm{N}=258$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal/family reasons | $8.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| Time off to do other things/pursue other <br> opportunities | $4.3 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Didn't feel ready for college/Evergreen | $2.1 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |

## Appendix A: Fields that Prospective Students Indicated Were Not Offered or Strong Enough at Evergreen

| Academic Field | Nonresidents | Residents | Disputed | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Biology (General) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Nursing | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Astronomy | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Biology (Conservation) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Biology (Molecular) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Computer Science | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Engineering | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pre-Med | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Sciences | 4 | 9 | 0 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Art | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Film | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Theater | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Dance | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Drama | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Music | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Photography/Photojournalism | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Arts Total | 7 | 3 | 1 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Global/International Business | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Business Total | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Criminal Justice | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| International Humanitarianism | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Political Economy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Political Sciences | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Social Work | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Social Sciences Total | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Education Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Classics | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Philosophy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Spanish language | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Japanese language | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Humanities Total | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Not specified | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 18 | 24** | 3 | 45 |

* One respondent mentioned theater in combination with film.
**One respondent mentioned Spanish and business; they are counted twice in the table.

Of the nonresidents that indicated that they were not able to study in the field that they are interested in or Evergreen was not strong enough in a particular field, 14 were attending another institution and four indicated that they are planning to attend another institution in the near future. The 14 students attending another institution reported that they were attending the following:

- Alfred University
- Christopher Newport University
- College of Santa Fe (2)
- Lewis and Clark
- Reed
- Rochester Institute of Technology
- San Francisco Art Institute
- St. John's College
- University of California, Santa Barbara
- University of Colorado at Boulder
- University of the Pacific
- University of Vermont (2)

Of the residents that indicated that they were not able to study in the field that they are interested in or Evergreen was not strong enough in a particular field, 17 indicated that they were attending another institution, four indicated that they are planning to attend another institution in the near future, and one indicated that they are not attending or planning to attend another institution. The 17 students currently attending another institution reported that they are attending the following:

- Central Washington University
- Gonzaga
- North Seattle Community College
- Olympic College
- St. Martin's
- University of Washington (5)
- Washington State University (4)
- Western Washington University (2)
- Not specified (1)

Two of three disputed respondents indicated that they are currently attending another institution (South Puget Sound Community College and University of Washington).

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen New Student Survey 2005

## How important are the following goals for you in earning your college degree?

New students were asked to indicate how important a series of goals were in earning a college degree. The tables below show the series of questions sorted from the highest to the lowest mean (or average) response for first-time, first-year students and transfer students. At least $90 \%$ of first-time, first-year and transfer students said that achieving personal success or satisfaction, personal growth and development, and developing creative and effective communication skills were "important" or "very important" to them in earning their college degrees.

First time, First-year Students ( $\mathrm{N}=417$ )
How important are the following goals for you in earning your college degree?

| Goals Listed from Highest to Lowest Means <br> Scale: 0=Not Important, 1=Slightly Important, 2=1mportant, 3=Very Important | Mean | Not Important <br> (0) | Slightly Important <br> (1) | Important <br> (2) | Very Important <br> (3) | Missing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achieving personal success or satisfaction | 2.83 | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 12.1\% | 85.4\% | 5 |
| Personal growth and development | 2.81 | 0.2\% | 1.2\% | 15.6\% | 83.0\% | 6 |
| Developing creative and effective communication skills (e.g. speaking and writing) | 2.57 | 0.5\% | 6.3\% | 28.6\% | 64.6\% | 5 |
| Becoming an informed citizen | 2.52 | 2.2\% | 6.6\% | 28.4\% | 62.9\% | 5 |
| Gaining an understanding of a broad range of ideas and fields of study | 2.46 | 0.2\% | 6.1\% | 41.2\% | 52.4\% | 7 |
| Getting a job of your choice or making a career change | 2.40 | 2.2\% | 10.5\% | 32.1\% | 55.2\% | 6 |
| Having a better understanding and appreciation for differences (ethnic, political, etc.) | 2.40 | 1.7\% | 8.7\% | 37.4\% | 52.2\% | 5 |
| Having expertise in a particular field | 2.33 | 1.0\% | 9.5\% | 44.6\% | 44.9\% | 7 |
| Being prepared for further education (graduate, professional, or doctoral) | 2.22 | 2.9\% | 19.2\% | 30.7\% | 47.2\% | 6 |
| Helping others or contributing to the community | 2.20 | 3.4\% | 17.4\% | 35.2\% | 44.0\% | 8 |
| Developing artistic abilities using one or more media (e.g. sculpture, painting, film, video, photography) | 2.09 | 6.6\% | 22.9\% | 25.5\% | 45.0\% | 6 |
| Improving and protecting the environment | 2.03 | 3.6\% | 26.3\% | 33.8\% | 36.3\% | 6 |
| Having a professional career | 1.97 | 7.6\% | 22.4\% | 35.6\% | 34.4\% | 7 |
| Influencing or changing the political system | 1.74 | 10.5\% | 30.9\% | 32.6\% | 26.0\% | 9 |
| Having the ability to apply scientific principles and methods | 1.56 | 13.8\% | 33.5\% | 35.9\% | 16.7\% | 5 |
| Having computer technology skills | 1.54 | 14.2\% | 35.0\% | 33.3\% | 17.6\% | 8 |
| Making more money | 1.39 | 17.7\% | 38.3\% | 31.3\% | 12.6\% | 5 |

The Evergreen State College

| (Continued) First time, First-year Students ( $\mathrm{N}=417$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How important are the following goals for you in earning your college degree? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goals Listed from Highest to Lowest Means |  | Not | Slightly |  | Very |  |
| Scale: 0=Not Important, 1=Slightly Important, 2=Important, 3=Very |  | Important | Important | Important | Important |  |
| Important | Mean | (0) | (1) | (2) | (3) | Missing |
| Having the ability to use quantitative reasoning (e.g. statistics, mathematics, etc.) | 1.37 | 16.3\% | 43.2\% | 27.3\% | 13.2\% | 7 |
| Meeting the expectations of family and friends | 1.07 | 31.5\% | 38.3\% | 22.0\% | 8.3\% | 7 |
| Starting or improving a business | 1.04 | 35.9\% | 33.7\% | 20.7\% | 9.8\% | 7 |

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen New Student Survey 2005

## Transfer Students ( $\mathrm{N}=445$ )

How important are the following goals for you in earning your college degree?

| Goals Listed from Highest to Lowest Means <br> Scale: 0=Not Important, 1=Slightly Important, 2=1mportant, 3=Very Important | Mean | Not Important <br> (0) | Slightly Important (1) | Important <br> (2) | Very Important <br> (3) | Missing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal growth and development | 2.80 | 0.2\% | 1.8\% | 15.8\% | 82.1\% | 3 |
| Achieving personal success or satisfaction | 2.79 | 0.5\% | 3.2\% | 13.6\% | 82.8\% | 3 |
| Getting a job of your choice or making a career change | 2.54 | 2.1\% | 7.3\% | 25.7\% | 64.9\% | 6 |
| Developing creative and effective communication skills (e.g. speaking and writing) | 2.49 | 1.8\% | 7.9\% | 29.6\% | 60.6\% | 3 |
| Having expertise in a particular field | 2.49 | 0.2\% | 7.5\% | 35.5\% | 56.8\% | 5 |
| Becoming an informed citizen | 2.43 | 2.9\% | 8.6\% | 30.6\% | 57.8\% | 4 |
| Being prepared for further education (graduate, professional, or doctoral) | 2.39 | 3.4\% | 12.7\% | 25.1\% | 58.8\% | 3 |
| Helping others or contributing to the community | 2.39 | 2.9\% | 9.9\% | 32.3\% | 54.9\% | 2 |
| Gaining an understanding of a broad range of ideas and fields of study | 2.35 | 2.5\% | 10.2\% | 37.6\% | 49.8\% | 3 |
| Having a better understanding and appreciation for differences (ethnic, political, etc.) | 2.33 | 2.5\% | 11.5\% | 36.1\% | 49.9\% | 2 |
| Improving and protecting the environment | 2.12 | 5.2\% | 21.0\% | 29.9\% | 43.9\% | 3 |
| Having a professional career | 2.06 | 8.0\% | 19.1\% | 31.6\% | 41.4\% | 5 |
| Influencing or changing the political system | 1.77 | 13.0\% | 28.5\% | 27.6\% | 31.0\% | 6 |
| Having the ability to apply scientific principles and methods | 1.76 | 14.5\% | 23.9\% | 33.0\% | 28.6\% | 5 |
| Having computer technology skills | 1.73 | 8.6\% | 32.8\% | 35.3\% | 23.3\% | 3 |
| Making more money | 1.68 | 11.3\% | 31.8\% | 34.5\% | 22.3\% | 2 |
| Developing artistic abilities using one or more media (e.g. sculpture, painting, film, video, photography) | 1.62 | 20.3\% | 26.4\% | 23.9\% | 29.3\% | 2 |
| Having the ability to use quantitative reasoning (e.g. statistics, mathematics, etc.) | 1.54 | 16.6\% | 30.4\% | 35.6\% | 17.5\% | 4 |
| Starting or improving a business | 1.15 | 35.5\% | 29.6\% | 19.5\% | 15.4\% | 3 |
| Meeting the expectations of family and friends | 0.95 | 43.0\% | 29.2\% | 17.4\% | 10.4\% | 3 |

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

## Responses of Olympia Campus Students

## Goals for College

Students were asked how important certain goals are in earning their college education. The chart below is sorted from highest to lowest mean response. More than $95 \%$ of Olympia campus respondents indicated that achieving personal success or satisfaction and personal growth and development were "Important or "Very Important," and $94.3 \%$ responded that developing creative and effective communication skills was "Important" or "Very Important." The goals that received the lowest mean responses were having the ability to use quantitative reasoning, having the ability to apply scientific methods and principles, meeting the expectations of friends and family, and starting or improving a business.


| How important are the following goals for you in earning your college education? $(\mathrm{N}=386)$ |  | Not Important (0) | Slightly Important <br> (1) | Important <br> (2) | Very Important <br> (3) | Mean | Median | Skipped question <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Having a better understanding and appreciation for differences (ethnic, political, etc.) | 2.7\% | 17.3\% | 32.6\% | 47.4\% | 2.25 | 2.00 | 21 |
| $=1$ $=1-15$ | Helping others or contributing to the community | 4.1\% | 17.4\% | 30.9\% | 47.7\% | 2.22 | 2.00 | 23 |
|  | Having a professional career | 9.3\% | 19.4\% | 35.5\% | 35.8\% | 1.98 | 2.00 | 20 |
| $=151$ | Improving and protecting the environment | 8.5\% | 24.0\% | 31.7\% | 35.8\% | 1.95 | 2.00 | 23 |
|  | Having computer technology skills | 8.2\% | 24.2\% | 42.9\% | 24.7\% | 1.84 | 2.00 | 22 |
| $=$ $=$ | Influencing or changing the political system | 13.5\% | 26.4\% | 33.9\% | 26.2\% | 1.73 | 2.00 | 23 |
|  | Making more money | 12.6\% | 29.9\% | 33.7\% | 23.8\% | 1.69 | 2.00 | 21 |
|  | Developing artistic abilities using one or more media (e.g. sculpture, painting, film, video, photography) | 17.4\% | 31.1\% | 22.6\% | 28.9\% | 1.63 | 2.00 | 23 |
| $=\square \square \square \square \square$ | Having the ability to use quantitative reasoning | 17.8\% | 29.3\% | 33.2\% | 19.7\% | 1.55 | 2.00 | 21 |
|  | Having the ability to apply scientific principles and methods | 18.2\% | 31.8\% | 29.6\% | 20.4\% | 1.52 | 1.50 | 24 |
|  | Meeting the expectations of friends and family | 27.3\% | 34.8\% | 27.3\% | 10.5\% | 1.21 | 1.00 | 24 |
|  | Starting or improving a business | 34.2\% | 27.3\% | 25.4\% | 13.1\% | 1.17 | 1.00 | 20 |

Note: The mini-charts above are provided to give a sense of how responses are distributed among the choices: "Not Important," "Slightly Important," "Important," and "Very Important." The Y-axes of all charts on this page are set at a maximum of $80 \%$ in order to make smaller percentages on the chart visible.

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen New Student Survey 2005

## Factors in Decision to Attend Evergreen

New students were asked to indicate how much influence a series of factors had on their decision to attend Evergreen. The factors with the highest mean responses for both first-time, first-year students and transfer students were: opportunity to design your own education, ability to take integrated programs instead of individual classes, ability to study in a variety of subjects, and ability to study one subject through multiple disciplines or perspectives (interdisciplinary learning).

How much influence did the following factors have on your decision to attend Evergreen?

## First-time, First-year Students ( $\mathrm{N}=417$ )

| Listed in Order of Highest to Lowest Means |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Scale: $0=$ No Influence, $1=$ Slightly Influential, 2\#nfluential, 3=Very Influential) | Mean |
| Opportunity to design your own education | 2.45 |
| Ability to take integrated programs instead of individual classes | 2.44 |
| Ability to study in a variety of subjects | 2.43 |
| Ability to study one subject through multiple disciplines or perspectives (interdisciplinary | 2.26 |
| learning) | 2.24 |
| Class size | 2.22 |
| Close contact with faculty | 2.18 |
| Ability to study in a specific field or discipline of your choice (please write in:) | 2.16 |
| Quality of faculty | 2.13 |
| Narrative evaluations instead of grades | 2.09 |
| Natural beauty of the area | 1.94 |
| Ability to do independent study | 1.85 |
| Ability to apply learning through community projects in class | 1.81 |
| Availability of art programs, art studios | 1.73 |
| Ability to apply learning through internship opportunities | 1.59 |
| Availability of media-related programs, film and video equipment and/or labs | 1.39 |
| Cost of Attendance (tuition, living expenses) | 1.14 |
| Availability of science programs, science labs | 1.13 |
| Availability of computer programs, computer labs | 1.11 |
| Availability of financial aid or scholarship | 0.97 |
| Other friends or family members that are attending or have attended Evergreen | 0.78 |
| Availability of classes on evenings and weekends |  |


| No Influence <br> (0) | Slightly Influential <br> (1) | Influential <br> (2) | Very Influential <br> (3) | Missing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.5\% | 9.9\% | 27.8\% | 59.9\% | 11 |
| 2.5\% | 11.3\% | 25.9\% | 60.3\% | 11 |
| 2.2\% | 6.7\% | 36.4\% | 54.6\% | 16 |
| 4.7\% | 13.3\% | 33.5\% | 48.5\% | 11 |
| 5.7\% | 10.3\% | 38.6\% | 45.5\% | 10 |
| 8.9\% | 8.4\% | 34.7\% | 48.0\% | 11 |
| 12.3\% | 10.0\% | 25.1\% | 52.6\% | 58 |
| 5.7\% | 13.5\% | 39.9\% | 40.9\% | 11 |
| 6.4\% | 17.4\% | 33.3\% | 42.9\% | 9 |
| 5.7\% | 19.9\% | 34.2\% | 40.2\% | 14 |
| 10.4\% | 20.5\% | 34.1\% | 35.1\% | 12 |
| 9.8\% | 23.5\% | 39.0\% | 27.7\% | 9 |
| 17.0\% | 21.4\% | 25.3\% | 36.4\% | 10 |
| 14.5\% | 23.1\% | 36.9\% | 25.6\% | 10 |
| 18.9\% | 26.5\% | 30.7\% | 23.8\% | 10 |
| 22.9\% | 30.5\% | 31.3\% | 15.3\% | 11 |
| 35.4\% | 29.0\% | 21.4\% | 14.3\% | 10 |
| 32.1\% | 34.6\% | 21.6\% | 11.8\% | 9 |
| 38.3\% | 25.2\% | 23.7\% | 12.8\% | 12 |
| 47.0\% | 20.0\% | 21.8\% | 11.1\% | 13 |
| 54.3\% | 22.7\% | 13.6\% | 9.4\% | 12 |

The Evergreen State College

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen New Student Survey 2005

How much influence did the following factors have on your decision to attend Evergreen? Transfer Students ( $\mathrm{N}=445$ )

| Listed in Order of Highest to Lowest Means (Scale: 0=No Influence, 1=Slightly Influential, 2=Anfluential, 3=Very Influential) | Mean | No Influence <br> (0) | Slightly Influential <br> (1) | Influential <br> (2) | Very Influential <br> (3) | Missing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opportunity to design your own education | 2.24 | 7.5\% | 12.8\% | 28.1\% | 51.6\% | 7 |
| Ability to study in a variety of subjects | 2.21 | 7.3\% | 12.3\% | 32.8\% | 47.6\% | 6 |
| Ability to study one subject through multiple disciplines or perspectives (interdisciplinary learning) | 2.17 | 8.0\% | 13.7\% | 31.9\% | 46.5\% | 6 |
| Ability to take integrated programs instead of individual classes | 2.14 | 11.2\% | 13.7\% | 25.3\% | 49.9\% | 6 |
| Ability to study in a specific field or discipline of your choice (please write in:) | 2.02 | 18.1\% | 8.9\% | 25.5\% | 47.5\% | 30 |
| Close contact with faculty | 2.01 | 13.0\% | 13.0\% | 34.2\% | 39.9\% | 6 |
| Quality of faculty | 1.95 | 12.6\% | 13.5\% | 40.9\% | 33.1\% | 7 |
| Ability to do independent study | 1.92 | 13.7\% | 16.9\% | 33.0\% | 36.4\% | 6 |
| Class size | 1.92 | 15.0\% | 15.2\% | 33.1\% | 36.7\% | 4 |
| Ability to apply learning through internship opportunities | 1.75 | 15.7\% | 23.9\% | 30.2\% | 30.2\% | 5 |
| Ability to apply learning through community projects in class | 1.72 | 17.5\% | 22.0\% | 31.4\% | 29.1\% | 5 |
| Narrative evaluations instead of grades | 1.65 | 21.4\% | 21.8\% | 27.3\% | 29.5\% | 5 |
| Natural beauty of the area | 1.65 | 21.4\% | 20.9\% | 29.5\% | 28.2\% | 5 |
| Cost of Attendance (tuition, living expenses) | 1.39 | 26.2\% | 25.5\% | 31.4\% | 16.9\% | 6 |
| Availability of financial aid or scholarship | 1.25 | 38.0\% | 18.2\% | 24.1\% | 19.6\% | 6 |
| Availability of art programs, art studios | 1.12 | 39.9\% | 25.7\% | 17.1\% | 17.3\% | 6 |
| Other friends or family members that are attending or have attended Evergreen | 1.12 | 43.7\% | 18.0\% | 21.2\% | 17.1\% | 6 |
| Availability of classes on evenings and weekends | 1.11 | 42.7\% | 23.1\% | 14.4\% | 19.9\% | 7 |
| Availability of media-related programs, film and video equipment and/or labs | 1.06 | 40.7\% | 26.5\% | 18.8\% | 14.0\% | 8 |
| Availability of computer programs, computer labs | 0.98 | 41.9\% | 29.6\% | 17.1\% | 11.4\% | 6 |
| Availability of science programs, science labs | 0.94 | 48.3\% | 21.6\% | 17.5\% | 12.5\% | 6 |

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen New Student Survey 2005

## Do you plan to focus on a particular field of study or discipline at Evergreen?

While Evergreen is an interdisciplinary Liberal Arts college, many students choose to focus their Evergreen studies in a particular field or discipline. Fifty-three percent of first-time, first-year students and $76.6 \%$ of transfer students indicated that they plan to study in a particular field or discipline at Evergreen. In addition, $39.8 \%$ of first-time, first-year students and $18.5 \%$ indicated that they don't know whether they will focus on a particular field or discipline. Only $7.2 \%$ of first-time, first-year students and $5.0 \%$ of transfer students indicated that they do not plan to focus on a particular field or discipline.


Do you plan to focus on a particular field of study or discipline at Evergreen?

|  | First-time, First- <br> year Students <br> $(N=415)$ | Transfer <br> Students <br> $(N=444)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $53.0 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ |
| No | $7.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Don't Know | $39.8 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |

Note: Three survey respondents skipped this question - two first-time, first-year students and one transfer student.

For both first-time, first-year students and transfer students who indicated that they plan to focus on a particular field or discipline, Visual and Performing Arts, Natural Resources and Conservation, Social Sciences, and Psychology were among the most popular fields. For more information see the responses to question 12, posted on www.evergreen.edu/institutionalresearch/nss2005results.htm.

Do you plan to focus on a particular field of study or discipline at Evergreen? If yes, please describe the field of study or discipline you plan to focus on: The chart below shows the fields or disciplines that first-time, first-year students indicated they plan to study at Evergreen, in order of most to least common. Some students wrote in more than one field of study, so percentages do not add up to $100 \%$. Student responses were coded into Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) 2000 codes. The most common fields of study indicated by first-time, first-year students are: Visual and Performing Arts ( 61 out of 220 respondents), Natural Resources and Conservation (36), Psychology (33), Social Sciences (32), and English Language and Literature/Letters (26).

First-time, First-year Students Who Reported That They Plan to Focus on a Particular Field or Discipline ( $\mathrm{N}=220$ )

## Reported Fields of Study*

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|cc|} & \text { Number of } \\ \text { First-time First } \\ \text { Years (N=220) }\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of } \\ \text { First-time First } \\ \text { Years Plan to } \\ \text { Focus** }\end{array}\right\}$

| Number of Nonresident Firsttime, First Years Plan to Focus ( $\mathrm{N}=83$ ) | Percentage of Nonresident Firsttime, First Years Plan to Focus** | Number of Resident Firsttime, First Years Plan to Focus ( $\mathrm{N}=137$ ) | Percentage of Resident Firsttime, First Years Plan to Focus** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 27.7\% | 38 | 27.7\% |
| 25 | 30.1\% | 11 | 8.0\% |
| 14 | 16.9\% | 19 | 13.9\% |
| 11 | 13.3\% | 21 | 15.3\% |
| 10 | 12.0\% | 16 | 11.7\% |
| 6 | 7.2\% | 8 | 5.8\% |
| 3 | 3.6\% | 11 | 8.0\% |
| 6 | 7.2\% | 8 | 5.8\% |
| 1 | 1.2\% | 12 | 8.8\% |
| 5 | 6.0\% | 7 | 5.1\% |
| 2 | 2.4\% | 8 | 5.8\% |
| 4 | 4.8\% | 6 | 4.4\% |
| 1 | 1.2\% | 8 | 5.8\% |
| 1 | 1.2\% | 8 | 5.8\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 8 | 5.8\% |
| 1 | 1.2\% | 7 | 5.1\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 4.4\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 4.4\% |
| 1 | 1.2\% | 4 | 2.9\% |
| 3 | 3.6\% | 2 | 1.5\% |
| 2 | 2.4\% | 1 | 0.7\% |
| 2 | 2.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.7\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.7\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 0.7\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |

Liberal arts and sciences
0.0\%

* Fields of study were written in by students in response to an open-ended question and later coded using Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) Codes.
** Percentages do not add up to 100 percent as many students wrote in more than one field or discipline.

The following table shows the actual responses of first-time, first-year respondents who indicated a field of study or discipline. The responses are grouped into two-digit CIP 2000 codes and organized by sub areas.

First-time, First-Year Students Who Reported that Plan to Focus on Particular Field or Discipline ( $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{2 2 0}$ )
Reported Fields of Focus
Number does not add up to 220, as many students reported more than one field of study

## Visual and Performing Arts ( $\mathrm{N}=61$ )

Art (visual as well as performing) and philosophy (1)
Art and Social Sciences along with some aspects of business (1)
Art and/or communications (1)
Art or Biology (1)
Art, with an emphasis on commercial or media arts (1)
Art focus: digital mediums (1)
Art/media (1)
psychology, art (1)
Psychology, Art, Sociology, Law, Conversation and Language (1)
Psychology, Philosophy, Art (1)
Some combination of art, cultural anthropology, and environmental studies. (1)
something involving art, psychology, and environmental science
Languages and art
Mostly art
Arts (visual), latin American studies
Arts (Visual, Film, Drawing); Communications (Swedish, Literature, etc.)
The arts, psychology maybe
Definitely in the Arts. But I am also interested in science and literature. I am very picky about my style though.
Writing and the Arts
Expressive arts
visual arts - illustration, in particular. philosophy. archaeology/art history
Visual Arts
Art History (Art restoration); Communication
Art history, environmental studies, film studies
Art history, literature, arama, and pernaps anthropological studies
Fine arts- art history, technique, language, business- to do art museum curating.
Drama/education
Dramatic/Theatre Arts
Theater Arts
Theatre
Generally the arts, focusing on creative writing and theatre
Madness and Psychology, Acting and Speech
Film (3)
I want to work with film.
A concentration that includes film, economics and pre law, an overall incorporation of these fields.
Film, Animation, Drama
Film/video (2)
Filmmaking of any kind.
Filmmaking, creative writing
Media works program...community development and non-profit management

## Web design/graphic arts

Graphic Design
Graphic Design, Architecture, Engineering
I plan to stay in the art field. Focusing on design.
Music and sound recording
Music theory and computer science
Music/Music Engineering/Production
Poetry/music/writing
Performance Arts (Music)

| Photography |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| environmental studies/photography (2) |  |
| Photojournalism. Most of my focus will lie in the photography, although I do plan to focus on some print journalism also. | 答 |
| Possibly photography or psychology | 든 |
| psychology w/ philosophy and photography and arts |  |
| Environmental Sciences, something natural, maybe pottery or writing <br> Botany, Mycology, Entemology; Music, Art; Forestry, Sustainable Development; Ecology, Hydrology, Climatology | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ \pm}$ |
| Audio and video production, photography | ○ |
| Natural Resources and Conservation ( $\mathrm{N}=36$ ) |  |
| Community sustainability (1) |  |
| Conservation ecology (1) |  |
| Ecology (1) |  |
| Art history, environmental studies, film studies (1) |  |
| Botany, Mycology, Entemology; Music, Art; Forestry, Sustainable Development; Ecology, Hydrology, Climatology (1) |  |
| Environmental / urban development / landscape architecture (1) |  |
| Environmental awareness (1) |  |
| Environmental Science (5) |  |
| Environmental Sciences (1) |  |
| Environmental Sciences, something natural, maybe pottery or writing (1) |  |
| Environmental Sciences/Studies (1) |  |
| Environmental Studies (6) |  |
| Environmental Studies particularly ecology (1) |  |
| Environmental studies, photography (2) |  |
| Environmental Studies/Marine Biology (1) |  |
| Environmental Studies/Conservation (1) |  |
| Environmental studies/ecology (1) |  |
| Environmental studies: fish and wildlife (1) |  |
| Environmental Study and Sustainable Agriculture (1) |  |
| Environmental/Outdoor Education (1) |  |
| I am extremely interested in the different fields involving the environment. I would love to focus on all aspects of the environment and not just the scientific parts. (1) |  |
| I plan on going into environmental studies (1) |  |
| I would like to study environmental science/environmental politics/environmental journalism (1) |  |
| Some combination of art, cultural anthropology, and environmental studies. (1) |  |
| something involving art, psychology, and environmental science (1) |  |
| Something with environmental studies. (1) |  |


| Psychology ( $\mathrm{N}=33$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| addiction medicine/psychology (1) |  |
| Either history or psychology (1) |  |
| something involving art, psychology, and environmental science (1) |  |
| I plan to study psychology (1) |  |
| Law or Psychology (1) |  |
| Political Science, Psychology, and/or linguistics (1) |  |
| Possibly photography or psychology (1) |  |
| Psychology - counseling (1) |  |
| Psychology (7) |  |
| Psychology and Human Services (1) |  |
| psychology w/ philosophy and photography and arts (1) |  |
| psychology, art (1) |  |
| The arts, psychology maybe (1) |  |
| Psychology, Art, Sociology, Law, Conversation and Language (1) |  |
| Psychology, Philosophy, Art (1) |  |
| Psychology, Sociology (1) |  |
| Psychology/Social Work (1) |  |
| Psychology; Writing; Journalism (1) |  |
| Madness and Psychology, Acting and Speech (1) |  |
| I want to study the combination of mass psychology and social revolution. (1) |  |
| Psychology - with a focus on research on personalities (1) |  |
| Psychology and law for forensic psychology (1) |  |
| Clinical psychology, as well as philosophy... (1) |  |
| social psychology, and it's place within the study of evolutionary psychology (1) |  |
| I would like to study psycholinguistics, but mostly I need to graduate from college feeling that I have earned a degree that will help me to help other people. (1) |  |
| Socio- and neurolinguistics. I want to know how and why language works the way it does. (1) |  |
| I am interested in psychopharmacology, and plan to study in the areas of psychology, chemistry, and pharmacology to further this. (1) |  |
| Social Sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=32$ ) |  |
| Anthropology (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे̀ } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 은 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Anthropology/linguistics (2) |  |
| Art history, literature, drama, and perhaps anthropological studies (1) Memetics/Cultural Anthropology (1) |  |
| visual arts - illustration, in particular. philosophy. archaeology/art history (1) |  |
| Some combination of art, cultural anthropology, and environmental studies. (1) |  |
| a concentration that includes film, economics and pre law, an overall incorporation of these fields. (1) | Economics |
| Economics / Alternative forms of business application (1) |  |
| law / poly sci (1) |  |
| Political Science and Law; where they converge and where they separate (1) |  |
| Political Science, Psychology, and/or linguistics (1) |  |
| Social Justice - Political Science (1) |  |
| Political Science/Pre-law (2) |  |
| poltical science/Ineory (1) |  |
| Writing \& political science (1) |  |
| Writing and Politics (1) |  |
| political sciences (1) |  |
| political studies (1) |  |
| Art and Social Sciences along with some aspects of business (1) | Social Sciences |
| Social sciences (2) |  |
| Psychology, Sociology (1) | Sociology |
| Social Work, Sociology/Psychology (1) |  |
| Sociology, Education (1) |  |


| Poli economy / Science (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Social/Political Science (1) |  |
| Women/Gender Studies, Sociology, and Political Science/theory (1) |  |
| I want to study the combination of mass psychology and social revolution. (1) |  |
| History/Sociology/Geography (1) |  |
| As of right now, l'd like to focus on sociology and anthropology, especially the roles that children play in American Society... (1) |  |
| English Language and Literature/Letters ( $\mathrm{N}=26$ ) |  |
| Creative Writing (3) | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\frac{1}{7}}$ |
| English/ Creative writing (1) |  |
| filmmaking, creative writing (1) |  |
| generally the arts, focusing on creative writing and theatre (1) |  |
| I wish to focus in the fields of creative writing and philosophical ideals. (1) |  |
| Environmental Sciences, something natural, maybe pottery or writing (1) |  |
| Philosophy/Writing (1) |  |
| Psychology; Writing; Journalism (1) |  |
| Writing (1) |  |
| Writing and the Arts (1) |  |
| Writing \& political science (1) |  |
| Writing and Politics (1) |  |
| Writing or cultural studies (1) |  |
| Writing with a focus on the effects of technology on the art form (1) |  |
| i would like to focus in either astronomy or in english literature. (1) |  |
| Art history, literature, drama, and perhaps anthropological studies (1) |  |
| Definitely in the Arts. But I am also interested in science and literature. I am very picky about my style though. (1) |  |
| Literature (1) |  |
| literature, linguistics (1) |  |
| Arts (Visual, Film, Drawing); Communications (Swedish, Literature, etc.) (1) |  |
| writing or english (1) |  |
| Literature, Creative Writing (1) |  |
| Literature/writing and film (1) |  |
| Poetry/music/writing (1) |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) |  |
| Art or Biology (1) |  |
| Biology (1) |  |
| Biology/media (1) |  |
| Science, biology (1) |  |
| Something in biology towards a pre med degree (1) |  |
| Plant biology/ botany (1) | Botany |
| Marine Biology (2) | Marine Biology |
| Either journalism or marine biology (1) |  |
| Environmental studies/ marine biology (1) |  |
| I am interested in psychopharmacology, and plan to study in the areas of psychology, chemistry, and pharmacology to further this. (1) |  |
| Botany, Mycology, Entemology ; Music, Art; Forestry, Sustainable Development; Ecology, Hydrology, Climatology (1) |  |
| Science: biology/human anatomy (1) |  |
| Zoology (1) |  |
| Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs ( $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) |  |
| Art and/or communications (1) |  |
| Art History (Art restoration); Communication (1) |  |
| Foreign communications in world issues (1) |  |
| Arts (Visual, Film, Drawing); Communications (Swedish, Literature, etc.) (1) |  |
| Journalism (1) |  |
| Either journalism or marine biology (1) |  |
| Psychology; Writing; Journalism (1) |  |
| Journalistic Writing (1) |  |
| Photojournalism. Most of my focus will lie in the photography, although I do plan to focus on some print journalism also. (1) |  |


| Art/media (1) | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| Biology/media (1) |  |
| Audio Production and Media Communication (1) |  |
| Madness and Psychology, Acting and Speech (1) | Speech |
| Psychology, Art, Sociology, Law, Conversation and Language (1) |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services (14) |  |
| Computer Science (3) |  |
| computer science, not sure on where specifically (1) |  |
| computer science and audio production (1) |  |
| Computer Science and Physics (1) |  |
| Computer science, educational theory, informatics (1) |  |
| Computer Science; 3D Animation (1) |  |
| I plan on mainly studying Computer Science/Programming, but I also want to experience other fields while I am there. (1) |  |
| Material Chemistry; Computer science (1) |  |
| Mathematics, Science, Computers with room for other areas (1) |  |
| Music theory and computer science (1) |  |
| Science, Computers (1) |  |
| Web design/graphic arts (1) | Web |
| Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics ( $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) |  |
| Foreign language and culture (Japanese language and culture) (1) |  |
| Japanese; Asian Studies (1) |  |
| Mostly on Japanese but as a whole I want to know more about Asia (1) |  |
| Languages and art (1) |  |
| Linguistics and History (1) |  |
| literature, linguistics (1) |  |
| Political Science, Psychology, and/or linguistics (1) |  |
| Teaching language/translation (1) |  |
| Linguistics, particularly East Asian and Western European languages. (1) |  |
| Womens Studies, Asian Languages (1) |  |
| Icelandic Culture, Art, Language (1) |  |
| Spanish, Pre-law (1) |  |
| Arts (Visual, Film, Drawing); Communications (Swedish, Literature, etc.) (1) |  |
| Education ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ) |  |
| computer science, educational theory, informatics (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{ভ}{む} \end{aligned}$ |
| drama/education (1) |  |
| Education - Becoming an English teacher and later becoming a counselor (1) |  |
| Education (1) |  |
| Education/Teaching (1) |  |
| social work, teaching (1) |  |
| Sociology, Education (1) |  |
| Teaching language/translation (1) |  |
| Teaching/Leadership (1) |  |
| Masters in Teaching (1) |  |
| I plan to focus on elementary education and possibly art...(1) |  |
| Environmental/Outdoor Education (1) |  |


| audio and video production, photography (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| audio engineer (1) |  |
| Audio Production and Media Communication (1) |  |
| Computer science and audio production (1) |  |
| Music and sound recording (1) |  |
| Music Production (1) |  |
| Music Production; Audio Engineering (if available) (1) |  |
| Music/Music Engineering/Production (1) |  |
| Computer Science; 3D Animation (1) | Animation |
| Film, Animation, Drama (1) |  |
| Legal Professions and Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) |  |
| A concentration that includes film, economics and pre law, an overall incorporation of these fields. (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{u}{3} \\ & \frac{\pi}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \text { in } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Political Science/Pre-law (2) |  |
| Spanish, Pre-law (1) |  |
| I plan on gaining a strong foundation in law, philosophy, and history. (1) |  |
| law / poly sci (1) |  |
| Law or Psychology (1) |  |
| Political Science and Law; where they converge and where they separate (1) |  |
| Psychology and law for forensic psychology (1) |  |
| Psychology, Art, Sociology, Law, Conversation and Language (1) |  |
| Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) |  |
| Education - Becoming an English teacher and later becoming a counselor (1) | Counseling |
| Psychology - counseling (1) |  |
| addiction medicine/psychology (1) |  |
| anything at this point that deals with medicine and people (1) |  |
| I plan to study sciences, I want to become a chiropractor and will be fulfilling requirements they have. (1) |  |
| medical (1) |  |
| Medicine (1) |  |
| Science/ Medicine (1) |  |
| Something in biology towards a pre med degree (1) |  |
| Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies - General Science ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) |  |
| Science (1) |  |
| Science, biology (1) |  |
| Science, Computers (1) |  |
| Bachelor in science (1) |  |
| Definitely in the Arts. But I am also interested in science and literature. I am very picky about my style though. (1) |  |
| Mathematics, Science, Computers with room for other areas (1) |  |
| Poli economy / Science (1) |  |
| Science/ Medicine (1) |  |
| Sciences (1) |  |
| Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ ) |  |
| Japanese; Asian Studies (1) |  |
| Mostly on Japanese but as a whole I want to know more about Asia (1) |  |
| Icelandic Culture, Art, Language (1) |  |
| Foreign language and culture (Japanese language and culture) (1) |  |
| arts (visual) Latin American studies (1) |  |
| Writing or cultural studies (1) |  |
| Women/Gender Studies, Sociology, and Political Science/theory (1) | Women's Studies |
| Womens Studies, Asian Languages (1) |  |
| Philosophy and Religious Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ ) |  |
| art (visual as well as performing) and philosophy (1) |  |
| I plan on gaining a strong foundation in law, philosophy, and history. (1) |  |
| I wish to focus in the fields of creative writing and philosophical ideals. (1) |  |
| Philosophy (1) |  |
| Philosophy/Writing (1) |  |
| psychology w/ philosophy and photography and arts (1) |  |
| Psychology, Philosophy, Art (1) |  |
| visual arts - illustration, in particular. philosophy. archaeology/art history (1) |  |



Do you plan to focus on a particular field of study or discipline at Evergreen? If yes, please describe the field of study or discipline you plan to focus on:
The chart below shows the fields or disciplines that transfer students indicated they plan to study at Evergreen, in order of most to least common. Some students wrote in more than one field of study, so percentages do not add up to $100 \%$. Student responses were coded into Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) 2000 codes. The most common fields of study indicated by transfer students are: Visual and Performing Arts ( 56 out of 340 respondents), Education (50), Natural Resources and Conservation (45), Social Sciences (45), Public Administration (41), and Psychology (40).

| Transfer Students Who Reported that Plan to Focus on Particular Field or Discipline ( $\mathrm{N}=340$ ) Reported Fields of Study* | Number of Transfer Students Plan to Focus on field ( $N=340$ ) | Percent of Transfer Students Plan to Focus on Field** | Number of Nonresident Transfer Students Plan to Focus ( $\mathrm{N}=46$ ) | Percentage of Nonresident Transfer Students Plan to Focus** | Number of Resident Transfer Students Plan to Focus ( $\mathrm{N}=294$ ) | Percentage of Resident Transfer Students Plan to Focus** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Visual and Performing Arts | 56 | 16.5\% | 8 | 17.4\% | 48 | 16.3\% |
| Education | 50 | 14.7\% | 4 | 8.7\% | 46 | 15.6\% |
| Natural Resources and Conservation | 45 | 13.2\% | 7 | 15.2\% | 38 | 12.9\% |
| Social Sciences | 45 | 13.2\% | 9 | 19.6\% | 36 | 12.2\% |
| Public Administration and Social Service Professions | 41 | 12.1\% | 4 | 8.7\% | 37 | 12.6\% |
| Psychology | 40 | 11.8\% | 8 | 17.4\% | 32 | 10.9\% |
| Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | 31 | 9.1\% | 4 | 8.7\% | 27 | 9.2\% |
| Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | 28 | 8.2\% | 3 | 6.5\% | 25 | 8.5\% |
| English Language and Literature/Letters | 26 | 7.6\% | 6 | 13.0\% | 20 | 6.8\% |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 21 | 6.2\% | 4 | 8.7\% | 17 | 5.8\% |
| Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services | 13 | 3.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 4.4\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services | 13 | 3.8\% | 1 | 2.2\% | 12 | 4.1\% |
| Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | 13 | 3.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 4.4\% |
| Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs | 12 | 3.5\% | 2 | 4.3\% | 10 | 3.4\% |
| Legal Professions and Studies | 11 | 3.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 3.7\% |
| Physical Sciences | 11 | 3.2\% | 3 | 6.5\% | 8 | 2.7\% |
| Agriculture, Agricultural Operations, and Related Sciences (Sustainable Agriculture) | 10 | 2.9\% | 1 | 2.2\% | 9 | 3.1\% |
| Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies (General Science or Biochemistry = 9; Maritime Studies = 1) | 10 | 2.9\% | 1 | 2.2\% | 9 | 3.1\% |
| History | 9 | 2.6\% | 3 | 6.5\% | 6 | 2.0\% |
| Liberal arts and sciences | 8 | 2.4\% | 1 | 2.2\% | 7 | 2.4\% |
| Philosophy and Religious Studies | 5 | 1.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 1.7\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 4 | 1.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 1.4\% |
| Communication Technologies/Technicians and Support Services | 3 | 0.9\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 1.0\% |
| Architecture and Related Services | 1 | 0.3\% | 1 | 2.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Engineering | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Library Science | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |

* Fields of study were written in by students in response to an open-ended question and later coded using Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) Codes.
** Percentages do not add up to 100 percent because many students wrote in more than one field or discipline.
Note: Additional analyses forthcoming that combine CIP code categories above into existing Planning Units.
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The following table shows the actual responses of transfer respondents who indicated a field of study or discipline. The responses are grouped into two-digit CIP 2000 codes and organized by sub areas.

## Transfer Students Who Reported that Plan to Focus on Particular Field or Discipline ( $\mathrm{N}=340$ )

Reported Fields of Focus
Number does not add up to 340, as many students reported more than one field of study

| Visual and Performing Arts ( $\mathrm{N}=56$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adventure education/environmental studies, art (1) |  |
| Art and education (1) |  |
| Art and english (1) |  |
| Art/history (1) |  |
| Womens' studies or marine biology and art (1) |  |
| Photojournalism, Art, Psychology, Spanish (1) |  |
| Latin American culture, art, language(spanish) (1) |  |
| Education in arts (1) |  |
| I wish to achieve Master in Teaching here at TESC, with a focus on art, culture, and language. (1) |  |
| I would like to pursue my interests in creative writing and other art forms. (1) |  |
| Minor in business managment, Major in Arts (?) (1) |  |
| Expressive Arts (1) |  |
| Fine Art (1) | Fine/Studio Arts |
| Fine Arts (1) |  |
| Marine Biology and Fine art (1) |  |
| Fine arts with focus in photography (1) |  |
| Fine arts: painting, drawing, printmaking (1) |  |
| Art illustrating, fine arts (1) |  |
| Studio art (1) |  |
| Art history (1) | $\frac{\text { N}}{\frac{0}{4}}$ |
| Art education/art history (1) |  |
| Art History/History/Religious History; Sustainable Agriculture (1) |  |
| Anthropology and the Performing Arts (1) | Performing Arts/Theatel |
| creative performance, literature, community outreach involving children.... Puppets!? (1) |  |
| medicine/healing; arts performance; humanities (1) |  |
| Education and theatre (1) |  |
| theatre arts (1) |  |
| theater arts and literature of... [no more written] (1) |  |
| Theater Performance (1) |  |
| Theatre, Puppetry (1) |  |
| film and culture (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \stackrel{\text { d}}{2} \\ & \stackrel{i}{i n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| communications media-film public administration (1) |  |
| media - film/video (1) |  |
| Media design (sound and film) (1) |  |
| media/film/communications (1) |  |
| MediaWorks documentary film (1) |  |
| Middle East studies, documentary film (1) |  |
| Writing and/or Film (1) |  |
| Cultural studies focusing mostly on music (1) | $\frac{u}{n}$ |
| Environmental Studies, developing countries/ music (1) |  |
| I would like to study math with an emphasis on teaching. I would also like to study music. (1) |  |
| Music (1) |  |
| music and culture (1) |  |
| music and poetry (1) |  |
| music/music production (1) |  |
| writing and/or music (1) |  |
| musical composition, creative writing (nature writing) (1) |  |




| sociology (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 긍 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{6}}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Communications and sociology (1) |  |
| Education and sociology (2) |  |
| 1 am interested in psychology and sociology and wish to look into social work. (1) |  |
| Psychology and/or sociology (1) |  |
| psychology/sociology (1) |  |
| Foreign policy or public policy (1) |  |
| US history \& foreign policy (1) |  |
| sustainable agriculture, democracy, international policy (1) |  |
| International relations (1) |  |
| World Politics, Humanities, Journalism/Communication (1) |  |
| Gender \& International Development (1) |  |
| Criminal Justice (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other Social Sciences or Multiple } \\ & \text { Social Sciences } \end{aligned}$ |
| Cultural geography (1) |  |
| Psychology, Economics and Psychology of Economics (1) |  |
| I'm going to try to bend things as much as possible to get out of Evergreen with mature skills in photography with concentrated interest in sociology, humanism, and cultural anthropology... |  |
| I want to study, in general, political economy and anthropology. Want to learn and realize connections and aspects of social lives and behavior under the influence of political and economic changes. Also, how changes that have resulted from those field. (1) |  |
| Social science, especially anthropology and political economy/globalization. (1) |  |
| Political science, social sciences, english (1) |  |
| Political Science/Sociology (1) |  |
| Public Administration and Social Service Professions ( $\mathrm{N}=41$ ) |  |
| Public Administration (4) |  |
| BA Computer and BA in Public Admin. (1) |  |
| Business Management/Public Administration (1) |  |
| Communications media-film public administration (1) |  |
| Public Administration and Management (1) |  |
| Law, Public Policy (1) |  |
| Business Management \& MPA (1) |  |
| Master of Public Administration (1) |  |
| Social Work (2) |  |
| A degree in social work (1) |  |
| Counseling/psychology/social work (1) |  |
| I am interested in pschology and sociology and wish to look into social work. (1) |  |
| Social Work, Psychology (1) |  |
| Social Service Administration (1) |  |
| Social Services (3) |  |
| SOCIAL SERVICES ADMIN/COUNSELOR (1) |  |
| Education - Early childhood social services (1) |  |
| Social/human services (1) |  |
| Human or social services and possibly law (1) |  |
| I would like to focus on women studies and social and human services. (1) |  |
| Social human services (1) |  |
| Social and Human Services with an emphasis on Administration. (1) |  |
| Human services (1) |  |
| Human service mental health (1) |  |
| Human services related to diabetes and nutrition education and case management (1) |  |
| Human Services. My goal is to become a counselor, motivational speaker and a better writer (1) |  |
| Human services/education (1) |  |


| Community leadership (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Community organizing, women's studies, cultural studies, Native American Studies (1) |  |
| Creative performance, literature, community outreach involving children.... Puppets!? (1) |  |
| I have been an active community organizer...(1) |  |
| I plan to focus on education and outreach (1) |  |
| Sustainable Community Development and social entrepreneurship (1) |  |
| Domestic violence awareness/prevention (1) | Other, multiple |
| Human Services/Social Work (1) |  |
| Psychology ( $\mathrm{N}=40$ ) |  |
| Psychology (12) |  |
| Psychology (probably). (1) |  |
| Psychology and consciousness studies (1) |  |
| Combined psychology, biology, ecodesign (1) |  |
| Counseling/psychology/social work (1) |  |
| Education, human development, counseling (1) |  |
| 1 am interested in psychology and sociology and wish to look into social work. (1) |  |
| I am interested in psychology... (1) |  |
| I have a deep interest in writing. I also would like to have some background in psychology or philosophy. (1) |  |
| I plan to focus on the psychology field, as it relates to my current employment... (1) |  |
| Law, Psychology (1) |  |
| mental health, counseling, psychology, anything in this realm. (1) |  |
| Photojournalism, Art, Psychology, Spanish (1) |  |
| Psy (1) |  |
| Psychology - western therapy studies (1) |  |
| Psychology and education (2) |  |
| Psychology and/or sociology (2) |  |
| Social Work, Psychology (1) |  |
| Psychology of art (1) |  |
| Psychology, Economics and Psychology of Economics (1) |  |
| Psychology/counseling (2) |  |
| Psychology/cross-cultural \& international studies (1) |  |
| psychology/spirituality holistic wellness (1) |  |
| veterinary medicine and science also psychology (1) |  |
| womens studies, psychology, creative writing (1) |  |
| Yes and no. I want law and psychology and social areas... (1) |  |
| Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=31$ ) |  |
| European studies: history, culture, language,politics, the arts, social influences, who/where and what it influences, etc. (1) |  |
| As of now French studies, language and culture (1) |  |
| French or European history and culture (1) |  |
| Japanese Culture, Sustainable Agriculture (1) | Japanese Cultural Studies |
| Japanese language and culture (1) |  |
| Japanese Language, Culture, History \& Art (1) |  |
| Native American (1) |  |
|  |  |
| ...l also love native american studies.... (1) |  |
| Ethnobotany, Indigenous culture studies, Creative Writing, Environmental and Sustainable Studies (1) |  |
| Indian Culture (1) |  |
| African Studies Master in Teaching (1) |  |
| Asian Studies (1) | ~ |
| Latin American culture, art, language(spanish) (1) | - |
| Middle East studies, documentary film (1) | 寺出 |
| Environmental Studies, developing countries/music (1) |  |


| Cultural studies (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{N}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anthroplogy, cultural/international studies (1) |  |
| Cultural st. with focus on economies effect (1) |  |
| Cultural studies focusing mostly on music (1) |  |
| Cultural Studies, Mind-Body Awareness (1) | ¢ |
| Environmental Education \& language \& cultural studies (1) | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ |
| Language and Culture. Spanish will be the emphasis. (1) | $\stackrel{~}{\omega}$ |
| Music and culture (1) | 5 |
| Psychology/cross-cultural \& international studies (1) | Ј |
| Social sciences with a focus on global issues / various cultures (1) |  |
| Gender \& International Development (1) |  |
| History of philosophy with an emphasis on political economy/womens studies (1) |  |
| I would like to focus on women studies and social and human services. (1) | $\sum$ |
| Womens' studies or marine biology and art (1) | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |
| Womens studies, psychology, creative writing (1) |  |
| Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=28$ ) |  |
| Biological Sciences, Pre-Medicine (1) |  |
| biology, pre-med (1) | $\sum^{0}$ |
| I am preparing for medical school, so I plan a rigourous science curriculum. (1) | $\pm$ |
| Pre-Med, Biology/Chemistry (1) |  |
| Pre-medicine with a focus on ethnobotany (1) | an |
| Counseling (1) | $\stackrel{=}{\overline{0}}$ |
| Counseling/psychology/social work (1) | $\stackrel{\breve{L}}{\leftrightharpoons}$ |
| Education, human development, counseling (1) | ơ |
| Human Services. My goal is to become a counselor, motivational speaker and a better writer (1) | ¢ |
| Human service mental health (1) | $$ |
| Mental health, counseling, psychology, anything in this realm. (1) | $\frac{1}{\square}$ |
| Psychology/counseling (2) | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |
| SOCIAL SERVICES ADMIN/COUNSELOR (1) | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |
| Art therapy, sustainability (1) |  |
| Art therapy. I want to make a difference for people that need other ways to express themselves (1) | $\frac{ \pm}{\pi}$ |
| BA: Art Therapy BS: Lichens (1) |  |
| Early Childhood Education, Art Therapy (1) | $\stackrel{\sim}{ \pm}$ |
| Cultural Studies, Mind-Body Awareness (1) | $\stackrel{\square}{5}$ |
| psychology/spirituality holistic wellness (1) | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ \pm}$ |
| Health care (1) |  |
| Health science (1) |  |
| I plan to focus on the sciences, mainly biology and chemistry because I want to work in the medical field (1) |  |
| Medicine/healing; arts performance; humanities (1) |  |
| human services related to diabetes and nutrition education and case management (1) |  |
| Nutrition and natural health (1) | ¢ |
| veterinary medicine and science also psychology (1) | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |
| Community health research/policy planning (1) |  |


| English Language and Literature/Letters ( $\mathrm{N}=26$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Creative Writing (3) |  |
| Ethnobotany, Indigenous culture studies, Creative Writing, Environmental and Sustainable Studies (1) |  |
| Creative Writing and Literature, Anthropology, Environmental Studies (1) |  |
| History, Writing (1) |  |
| Human Services. My goal is to become a counselor, motivational speaker and a better writer (1) |  |
| I have a deep interest in writing. I also would like to have some background in psychology or philosophy. (1) |  |
| Writing and/or Film (1) |  |
| Writing and/or music (1) |  |
| I would like to pursue my interests in creative writing and other art forms. (1) |  |
| Musical composition, creative writing (nature writing) (1) |  |
| Womens studies, psychology, creative writing (1) |  |
| Creative writing and humanities (1) |  |
| Photography, writing (1) |  |
| Creative performance, literature, community outreach involving children.... (1) | Literature |
| Literature and language as preliminary studies for a future doctorate in Lit. (1) |  |
| Theater arts and literature of... [no more written] (1) |  |
| Art and english (1) |  |
| English with intent to receive teaching certification, primary focus on creative writing (1) |  |
| English, History (1) |  |
| English, journalism (1) |  |
| Journalism/English Language Arts/Teaching (1) |  |
| Political science, social sciences, english (1) |  |
| Social studies and English language arts in order to apply for the MIT program. (1) |  |
| Music and poetry (1) | Poetry |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=21$ ) |  |
| Biology (1) |  |
| Biological Sciences, Pre-Medicine (1) |  |
| Biology, pre-med (1) |  |
| Biology and Biochemistry (1) |  |
| I plan to focus on the sciences, mainly biology and chemistry because I want to work in the medical field (1) |  |
| Combined psychology, biology, ecodesign (1) |  |
| Agroecology/Ethnobotany (1) |  |
| Ethnobotany, Indigenous culture studies, Creative Writing, Environmental and Sustainable Studies (1) |  |
| Pre-medicine with a focus on ethnobotany (1) |  |
| Botany |  |
| BA: Art Therapy BS: Lichens (1) |  |
| Plant and soil science (1) |  |
| Marine Biology and Fine art (1) | Marine <br> Biology |
| womens' studies or marine biology and art (1) |  |
| Biology and Zoology (1) | Biology/ <br> Zoology |
| Zoology/biology and photography (1) |  |
| Chemistry/physics/botany/animal psychology (1) |  |
| Animal sciences (1) |  |
| Environmental health (1) |  |
| Microbiology (1) |  |
| Natural sciences, ecology (1) |  |


| Accounting in combination with liberal arts. (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Business (1) |  |
| Business \& Studio or Music Production (1) |  |
| Business, sustainable agriculture (1) |  |
| Business and Finance (1) |  |
| Business Administration (1) |  |
| Business Management (1) |  |
| Business Management/Public Administration (2) |  |
| Business Management/Marketing (1) |  |
| Minor in business managment, Major in Arts (?) (1) |  |
| Business, accounting, marketing, management (1) |  |
| Human resources (1) |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services ( $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) |  |
| BA Computer and BA in Public Admin. (1) |  |
| Computer Science (5) |  |
| Computer sciences (1) |  |
| computer science and implementation of information systems in real life organizations (1) |  |
| computer science/mathematics (1) |  |
| Mathematics computer technology (1) |  |
| I'd like to focus on the computer field... (1) |  |
| Informational Sciences (1) |  |
| Networking (1) |  |
| Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics ( $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) |  |
| Geology, Japanese(1) | $\stackrel{0}{0}$$\stackrel{\pi}{0}$$\stackrel{0}{0}$$\stackrel{0}{0}$ |
| Japanese language and culture (1) |  |
| Japanese Language, Culture, History \& Art (1) |  |
| Communications, Spanish (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\tilde{n}}{\underline{E}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |
| Language and Culture. Spanish will be the emphasis. (1) |  |
| Latin American culture, art, language(spanish) (1) |  |
| Learning Spanish (1) |  |
| Photojournalism, Art, Psychology, Spanish (1) |  |
| Environmental Education \& language \& cultural studies (1) | Language general |
| I wish to achieve Master in Teaching here at TESC, with a focus on art, culture, and language. (1) |  |
| As of now french studies, language and culture (1) |  |
| european studies: history, culture, language,politics, the arts, social influences, who/where and what it influences, etc. (1) |  |
| I want an independent contract focusing on deaf studies culture (1) |  |
| Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ) |  |
| Communications and sociology (1) |  |
| Communications media-film public administration (1) |  |
| Communications, spanish (1) |  |
| I'm shooting for a communications degree (1) |  |
| English, journalism (1) |  |
| Journalism (1) |  |
| Journalism/English Language Arts/Teaching (1) |  |
| Photojournalism, Art, Psychology, Spanish (1) |  |
| Media (1) | Media studies |
| media/film/communications (1) |  |
| World Politics, Humanities, Journalism/Communication (1) | Multiple |


| Legal Professions and Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=11$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Law (1) |  |
| Human or social services and possibly law (1) |  |
| Law, Psychology (1) |  |
| Law, Public Policy (1) |  |
| Law/social sciences (1) |  |
| Legal (1) |  |
| Constitutional law (1) |  |
| Human or social services and possibly law |  |
| Prelaw (1) |  |
| ...Want to go to a law school but thinking about becoming an instructor as well in the area of paralegal. |  |
| Physical Sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=11$ ) |  |
| Chemistry (1) |  |
| Chemistry green, inorganic (1) |  |
| Chemistry or science (1) |  |
| Chemistry/teaching (1) |  |
| I plan to focus on the sciences, mainly biology and chemistry because I want to work in the medical field (1) |  |
| Earth sciences (1) |  |
| MIT - Endorsements in Social Studies and Earth Science (1) |  |
| Geology, japanese (1) |  |
| Physics (1) Physics |  |
| Theoretical physics (1) Physics |  |
| Chemistry/physics/botany/animal psychology (1) | Multiple |
| Agriculture, Agricultural Operations, and Related Sciences (Sustainable Agriculture) ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) |  |
| Agriculture (1) |  |
| Environmental agriculture (1) |  |
| Sustainable agriculture (2) |  |
| Art History/History/Religious History; Sustainable Agriculture (1) |  |
| Business, sustainable agriculture (1) |  |
| Japanese Culture, Sustainable Agriculture (1) |  |
| Sustainable agriculture, democracy, international policy (1) |  |
| Sustainable Permaculture and Horticulture (1) |  |
| Plant and soil science (1) |  |
| Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) |  |
| Bio-Chemistry (1) |  |
| Biology and Biochemistry (1) Biochemistry |  |
| Pre-Med, Biology/Chemistry (1) |  |
| Chemistry or science (1) | T¢U |
| ...I would like to pursue the sciences and try my best to dabble in various other areas... (1) |  |
| Sciences (1) |  |
| Science and math (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{U}{U} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ |
| Veterinary medicine and science also psychology (1) |  |
| Science Education for middle or high school (1) |  |
| Maritime Studies and Education (1) | Maritime |


| History ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Art History/History/Religious History; Sustainable Agriculture (1) |  |
| Art/history (1) |  |
| English, History (1) |  |
| History, Writing (1) |  |
| History based classes with hopes of attending your masters in teaching program (1) |  |
| History of philosophy with an emphasis on political economy/womens studies (1) |  |
| History, teaching (1) |  |
| History, the ability to work with communities to build an oral history (1) |  |
| US history \& foreign policy (1) |  |
| Liberal arts and sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ ) |  |
| Liberal Arts (1) | Liberal Arts |
| Accounting in combination with liberal arts. (1) |  |
| Liberal studies (1) |  |
| Creative writing and humanities (1) |  |
| Humanities and social sciences (1) |  |
| I'm going to try to bend things as much as possible to get out of Evergreen with mature skills in photography with concentrated interest in sociology, humanism, and cultural anthropology... (1) |  |
| Medicine/healing; arts performance; humanities (1) |  |
| World Politics, Humanities, Journalism/Communication (1) |  |
| Philosophy and Religious Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=5$ ) |  |
| Art History/History/Religious History; Sustainable Agriculture (1) |  |
| I have a deep interest in writing. I also would like to have some background in psychology or philosophy. (1) |  |
| Philosophy and teaching (1) |  |
| Philosophy linked with daily problem solving (1) |  |
| Religious Humanities, Ecology (1) |  |
| Mathematics and Statistics ( $\mathrm{N}=4$ ) |  |
| Computer science/mathematics (1) |  |
| Mathematics computer technology (1) |  |
| Science and math (1) |  |
| I would like to study math with an emphasis on teaching. I would also like to study music. (1) |  |
| Communication Technologies/Technicians and Support Services ( $\mathrm{N}=3$ ) |  |
| Business \& Studio or Music Production (1) |  |
| Media design (sound and film) (1) |  |
| Music/music production (1) |  |
| Architecture and Related Services ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ) |  |
| Combined psychology, biology, ecodesign (1) |  |

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

## Responses of Olympia Campus Students

## Primary Area of Study or Concentration and Ability to Study in Field of Interest

Students were asked to respond to the question: "What is your primary field of study or concentration at Evergreen?" The open-ended responses to this question were coded into the Classification for Instructional Programs (CIP) 2000 codes. Some respondents wrote in more than one field of study, so percentages do not add up to $100 \%$. The greatest proportion of Olympia campus respondents indicated an interest in Visual and Performing Arts (21.0\%), Social Sciences (15.9\%), Natural Resources and Conservation (10.6\%), and Psychology (9.5\%).

What is your primary field of study or concentration at Evergreen?

| CIP Classification Representing Primary Area(s) of Study or Concentration(s) <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=377$, nine did not respond) | Number of Olympia Campus <br> Respondents Wrote in Area of Interest within CIP category <br> (N) | Percent of Olympia Campus Respondents Wrote in Area of Interest within CIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Visual and Performing Arts | 79 | 21.0\% |
| Social Sciences | 60 | 15.9\% |
| Natural Resources and Conservation | 40 | 10.6\% |
| Psychology | 36 | 9.5\% |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 32 | 8.5\% |
| English Language and Literature/Letters | 30 | 8.0\% |
| Education | 30 | 8.0\% |
| Area, Ethnic. Cultural, and Gender Studies | 29 | 7.7\% |
| Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | 22 | 5.8\% |
| Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | 21 | 5.6\% |
| Public Administration and Social Service Professions | 20 | 5.3\% |
| Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services | 19 | 5.0\% |
| Multi-Interdisciplinary Studies: International Global Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ), Peace and Justice Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ); Consciousness Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ); Systems Theory ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ); Science with no distinction between physical and biological sciences ( $\mathrm{N}=13$ ) | 18 | 4.8\% |
| Liberal Arts and Sciences | 17 | 4.5\% |

Office of Institutional Research and Assessment
Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006 - Question 4

| CIP Classification Representing Primary Area(s) of Study or Concentration(s) <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=377$, nine did not respond) | Number of Olympia Campus <br> Respondents Wrote in Area of Interest within CIP category <br> (N) | Percent of Olympia Campus Respondents Wrote in Area of Interest within CIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical Sciences | 15 | 4.0\% |
| Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs | 14 | 3.7\% |
| Philosophy and Religious Studies | 11 | 2.9\% |
| History | 10 | 2.7\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services | 10 | 2.7\% |
| Architecture and Related Services (Urban Studies, Urban Planning) | 8 | 2.1\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 6 | 1.6\% |
| Agriculture, Agricultural Operations, and Related Sciences | 5 | 1.3\% |
| Legal Professions and Studies | 4 | 1.1\% |
| Communication Technologies/Technicians and Support Services | 3 | 0.8\% |
| Library Science | 1 | 0.3\% |
| Undecided | 5 | 1.3\% |
| Other comments not categorized | 9 | 2.4\% |

There were nine comments that were not categorized. These comments were: "broad," "do not have a single focus," "Gen," "N/A," "n/a," "no concentration," "not really," "PLE," and "Whatever I want."

Students were asked to indicate how satisfied they are with their ability to study what they are interested in at Evergreen. A total of $65.8 \%$ indicated that they were "quite a bit" satisfied or "very" satisfied. Another $21.4 \%$ said that they were "moderately" satisfied. A little over $12 \%$ indicated that they were "Not at All" or "A Little Bit" satisfied.

| This year, to what extent do you feel...? | Not at All <br> (0) | A Little Bit <br> (1) | Moderately <br> (2) | Quite a Bit <br> (3) | Very <br> (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfied that you can study what you are interested in at Evergreen | 3.4\% | 9.4\% | 21.4\% | 36.3\% | 29.5\% |

Mean $=2.79$, Median $=3.00$. One respondent skipped this question.
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## Primary Area of Study or Concentration by Planning Unit

Students were asked to respond to the question: "What is your primary field of study or concentration at Evergreen?" The open-ended responses to this question were first coded into Classification for Instructional Programs (CIP) 2000 codes. In addition to coding responses into CIP categories, open-ended questions were coded into affiliated Planning Units. The table below shows the number of students who responded with a primary area of study or concentration in each Planning Unit. Many students wrote in more than concentration, so percentages do not add up to $100 \%$.

| CIP Classification Representing Primary Area(s) of Study or | Number of Olympia <br> Campus Respondents <br> Wrote in | Percent of <br> Concentration(s) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Olympia Campus <br> Respondents <br> Wrote in |  |  |
| question) |  |  |$\quad$| Whad other comments not categorizable or did not respond to <br> Concentration within <br> Planning Unit (N) |
| :---: |
| Concentration <br> within Planning <br> Unit |
| Society, Politics, Behavior and Change |
| Scientific Inquiry |
| Expressive Arts |
| Culture Text and Language |
| Environmental Studies |
| General/Liberal Arts/Bachelor or Arts |
| Native American and World Indigenous People's Studies |

## Responses Classified in Combinations of Planning Units (Mutually Exclusive Categories)

The following table (on the next two pages) shows responses in mutually exclusive categories. Each respondent is represented only once within the categories; therefore, the percentages of respondents in categories adds up to $100 \%$. The first set of categories consists of respondents who had concentrations that fit within only one planning unit; categories of responses that fit within two or more planning units follow. Among those categories that consist of two or more planning units, planning units are listed in alphabetical order.

The highest percentage of respondents consisted of responses that fit within only one planning unit or division ( $75.2 \%$ of respondents). Just over $20 \%$ of respondents ( $20.9 \%$ ) reported concentrations that fell into two planning units. The highest percentage of respondents ( $26.7 \%$ ) reported concentrations that fit within Society, Politics, Behavior and Change only. Another 14.6\% reported concentrations that fit within Scientific Inquiry only.

| CIP Classification Representing Primary Area(s) of Study or Concentration(s) <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=363$, 23 had other comments not categorizable or did not respond to question) | Number of Olympia Campus Respondents Wrote in Concentration within Planning Unit (N) | Percent of Olympia Campus Respondents Wrote in Concentration within Planning Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Society, Politics, Behavior and Change (SPBC) | 97 | 26.7\% |
| Scientific Inquiry (SI) | 53 | 14.6\% |
| Culture Text and Language (CTL) | 42 | 11.6\% |
| Expressive Arts (EA) | 40 | 11.0\% |
| Environmental Studies (ES) | 30 | 8.3\% |
| General/Liberal Arts/Bachelor or Arts (IA) | 11 | 3.0\% |
| Native American and World Indigenous People's Studies (NAWIP) | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Student Interests in One Planning Unit/Division ( $\mathrm{N}=273, \mathbf{7 5 . 2 \%}$ of respondents) |  |  |
| CTL, EA | 9 | 2.5\% |
| CTL, SPBC | 17 | 4.7\% |
| CTL, SI | 2 | 0.6\% |
| EA, ES | 2 | 0.6\% |
| EA, IA | 3 | 0.8\% |
| EA, SI | 4 | 1.1\% |
| EA, SPBC | 12 | 3.3\% |
| ES, SI | 5 | 1.4\% |
| ES, SPBC | 7 | 1.9\% |
| IA, NAWIP | 1 | 0.3\% |
| IA, SI | 1 | 0.3\% |
| IA, SPBC | 2 | 0.6\% |
| NAWIP, SPBC | 1 | 0.3\% |


| CIP Classification Representing Primary Area(s) of Study or Concentration(s) ( $\mathrm{N}=363,23$ had other comments not categorizable or did not respond to question) | Number of Olympia Campus Respondents Wrote in Concentration within Planning Unit ( N ) | Percent of Olympia Campus Respondents Wrote in Concentration within Planning Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI, SPBC | 10 | 2.8\% |
| Student Interests in Two Planning Units/ Divisions (N=76, 20.9\%of respondents) |  |  |
| CTL, EA, SI | 1 | 0.3\% |
| CTL, EA, SPBC | 1 | 0.3\% |
| CTL, SI, SPBC | 2 | 0.6\% |
| EA, IA, SPBC | 1 | 0.3\% |
| EA, ES, SI | 1 | 0.3\% |
| EA, ES, SPBC | 2 | 0.6\% |
| EA, SI, SPBC | 1 | 0.3\% |
| ES, SI, SPBC | 1 | 0.3\% |
| Student Interests in Three Planning Units/Divisions ( $\mathrm{N}=10,2.8 \%$ ) |  |  |
| CTL, EA, ES, SPBC | 1 | 0.3\% |
| CTL, EA, ES, SI | 1 | 0.3\% |
| CTL, EA, SPBC, SI | 1 | 0.3\% |
| Student Interests in Four Planning Units/Divisions ( $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 , 0 . 8 \%}$ ) |  |  |
| CTL, EA, IA, SPBC, SI | 1 | 0.3\% |
| Student Interests in Five Planning Units/Divisions ( $\mathrm{N}=1,0.3 \%$ ) |  |  |
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## How important were the following sources of information in your decision to attend Evergreen?

New students were asked to indicate the level of importance of various sources of information in their decisions to attend Evergreen. The tables below show the level of importance reported by first-time, first-year students and transfer students on items that have been sorted from highest mean level of importance to lowest. Most frequently mentioned as a "very important" source of information was a campus visit, which was cited as "very important" by $52.6 \%$ of first-time, first-year students and $31.6 \%$ of transfer students. Other sources of information that were frequently cited as "somewhat important" or "very important" for first-time, first-years were: parents, other relatives, and/or friends; printed information sent from Evergreen; and Evergreen's web site, online catalog.

First-time, First-year Students ( $\mathrm{N}=417$ )
How important were the following sources of information in your decision to attend Evergreen?

| Items Listed from Highest to Lowest Means <br> Scale: 0=Not Important, 1=Slightly Important, 2=Somewhat Important, 3=Very Important | Mean | Not Important <br> (0) | Slightly Important <br> (1) | Somewhat Important <br> (2) | Very Important <br> (3) | Missing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus visit | 2.11 | 16.3\% | 9.5\% | 21.6\% | 52.6\% | 18 |
| Parents, other relatives, and/or friends | 1.66 | 19.0\% | 22.6\% | 31.6\% | 26.8\% | 18 |
| Printed information sent from Evergreen | 1.59 | 21.1\% | 20.8\% | 35.8\% | 22.3\% | 18 |
| Evergreen's web site, online catalog | 1.58 | 21.6\% | 18.5\% | 40.4\% | 19.5\% | 18 |
| Evergreen student or alumni | 1.27 | 36.6\% | 22.1\% | 19.0\% | 22.3\% | 18 |
| Financial aid information | 1.11 | 37.9\% | 26.5\% | 21.9\% | 13.7\% | 24 |
| Contact with Evergreen faculty or staff | 1.10 | 38.8\% | 26.0\% | 22.0\% | 13.3\% | 17 |
| Evergreen admissions counselor or recruiter | 1.04 | 42.5\% | 24.0\% | 21.0\% | 12.5\% | 17 |
| National ranking and/or college guide (such as US News and World Report) | 0.99 | 44.5\% | 22.5\% | 23.0\% | 10.0\% | 17 |
| Teacher or Counselor at prior school | 0.98 | 48.2\% | 18.3\% | 20.4\% | 13.1\% | 19 |
| Information about housing options on campus | 0.98 | 44.8\% | 23.2\% | 20.9\% | 11.1\% | 20 |
| Newspaper or magazine article | 0.72 | 58.7\% | 19.6\% | 12.6\% | 9.1\% | 20 |
| Evening and Weekend Studies class listing (Evergreen Times) | 0.33 | 80.9\% | 9.6\% | 5.5\% | 4.0\% | 20 |
| Radio ads | 0.22 | 84.8\% | 10.1\% | 3.5\% | 1.5\% | 21 |
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## Transfer Students ( $\mathrm{N}=445$ )

How important were the following sources of information in your decision to attend Evergreen?

| Items Listed from Highest to Lowest Means <br> Scale: 0=Not Important, 1=Slightly Important, 2=Somewhat Important, 3=Very Important | Mean | Not Important <br> (0) | Slightly Important <br> (1) | Somewhat Important <br> (2) | Very Important <br> (3) | Missing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus visit | 1.63 | 26.8\% | 14.6\% | 27.0\% | 31.6\% | 8 |
| Parents, other relatives, and/or friends | 1.42 | 28.6\% | 22.4\% | 27.5\% | 21.5\% | 8 |
| Evergreen's web site, online catalog | 1.40 | 29.3\% | 22.2\% | 27.5\% | 21.1\% | 8 |
| Evergreen student or alumni | 1.36 | 38.7\% | 11.7\% | 24.7\% | 24.9\% | 8 |
| Printed information sent from Evergreen | 1.17 | 40.0\% | 18.4\% | 25.7\% | 15.9\% | 10 |
| Financial aid information | 1.13 | 42.1\% | 20.8\% | 19.5\% | 17.6\% | 8 |
| Contact with Evergreen faculty or staff | 1.03 | 47.1\% | 18.9\% | 17.9\% | 16.1\% | 10 |
| Evergreen admissions counselor or recruiter | 0.89 | 52.7\% | 17.6\% | 18.0\% | 11.8\% | 12 |
| Teacher or Counselor at prior school | 0.78 | 59.3\% | 15.9\% | 12.2\% | 12.6\% | 10 |
| Evening and Weekend Studies class listing (Evergreen Times) | 0.75 | 65.1\% | 11.0\% | 8.0\% | 15.9\% | 10 |
| National ranking and/or college guide (such as US News and World Report) | 0.74 | 59.9\% | 14.5\% | 17.7\% | 7.8\% | 11 |
| Newspaper or magazine article | 0.43 | 71.7\% | 16.6\% | 9.0\% | 2.8\% | 11 |
| Information about housing options on campus | 0.37 | 77.5\% | 11.1\% | 8.1\% | 3.2\% | 14 |
| Radio ads | 0.11 | 90.8\% | 7.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 12 |

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

## Responses of Olympia Campus Students

## Satisfaction with the Learning Environment

Students were asked to indicate their level of satisfaction with about their learning environment at Evergreen, by answering a series of questions ranging from relationships with faculty to their social experiences at Evergreen. All items in this series had a median response of 3.00 or "Satisfied," except the item related to the amount of diversity at Evergreen. The median response for this item was 2.00 or "Dissatisfied." The items with the highest mean levels of satisfaction among Olympia campus respondents were: relationships with faculty, the overall quality of instruction, narrative evaluations by faculty, and progress in achieving educational goals. Items with the lowest levels of satisfaction were: social climate in seminars, campus activities, experiences with diversity at Evergreen, and the amount of diversity at Evergreen.

There were a relatively high number of Olympia campus respondents who indicated that some items were "Not Applicable." These items are: Evergreen's support for development in quantitative reasoning (e.g. mathematics, statistics) ( 147 respondents indicated that this item was "Not Applicable"), opportunities for community service or volunteer work ( $\mathrm{N}=108$ ), opportunities for learning new software and working with technology ( $\mathrm{N}=94$ ), availability of technical support for your use of computers on and off campus ( $\mathrm{N}=76$ ), campus activities ( $\mathrm{N}=67$ ), and team teaching by faculty ( $\mathrm{N}=51$ ).

| This year, how satisfied are you with...? <br> Items sorted by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Very Dissatisfied <br> (1) | Dissatisfied <br> (2) | Satisfied <br> (3) | Very Satisfied <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Indicated Level Dis/Satisfaction <br> (N) | Not Applicable <br> (N) | Skipped question <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Relationships with faculty | 1.6\% | 8.3\% | 42.6\% | 47.5\% | 3.36 | 3.00 | 373 | 2 | 11 |
| - The overall quality of instruction | 1.1\% | 7.9\% | 48.1\% | 42.9\% | 3.33 | 3.00 | 366 | 7 | 13 |
| Narrative evaluations by faculty | 1.4\% | 8.9\% | 49.4\% | 40.2\% | 3.28 | 3.00 | 358 | 14 | 14 |
| Your own progress in achieving your educational goals | 1.9\% | 11.2\% | 43.9\% | 43.0\% | 3.28 | 3.00 | 374 | 1 | 11 |
| Interdisciplinary approach to course content | 2.2\% | 9.2\% | 48.1\% | 40.6\% | 3.27 | 3.00 | 360 | 12 | 14 |
| Lectures and other presentations by faculty | 1.1\% | 9.2\% | 52.5\% | 37.2\% | 3.26 | 3.00 | 360 | 8 | 18 |
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| This year, how satisfied are you with...? Items sorted by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Very Dissatisfied <br> (1) | Dissatisfied <br> (2) | Satisfied <br> (3) | Very Satisfied <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Indicated Level Dis/Satisfaction <br> (N) | Not Applicable <br> (N) | Skipped question |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic advice from faculty | 2.5\% | 12.2\% | 46.3\% | 39.1\% | 3.22 | 3.00 | 361 | 14 | 11 |
| - | 1.7\% | 5.9\% | 63.5\% | 28.9\% | 3.20 | 3.00 | 356 | 15 | 15 |
| - Availability of faculty outside of class | 3.4\% | 9.9\% | 53.4\% | 33.2\% | 3.16 | 3.00 | 352 | 16 | 18 |
| Opportunities for in-depth academic work/research | 2.9\% | 14.7\% | 47.1\% | 35.3\% | 3.15 | 3.00 | 348 | 15 | 23 |
| Opportunities for community service or volunteer work | 2.7\% | 8.4\% | 61.1\% | 27.9\% | 3.14 | 3.00 | 262 | 108 | 16 |
| - Type of academic assignments | 1.1\% | 9.3\% | 64.5\% | 25.1\% | 3.14 | 3.00 | 366 | 3 | 17 |
| - Your living situation (on or off campus) | 6.6\% | 12.7\% | 42.3\% | 38.4\% | 3.12 | 3.00 | 362 | 10 | 14 |
| The quality of faculty feedback on your work | 1.4\% | 14.4\% | 56.0\% | 28.3\% | 3.11 | 3.00 | 368 | 2 | 16 |
| The timeliness of faculty feedback on your work | 3.8\% | 11.7\% | 54.9\% | 29.5\% | 3.10 | 3.00 | 366 | 4 | 16 |
| - Team teaching by faculty | 3.4\% | 17.2\% | 47.6\% | 31.7\% | 3.08 | 3.00 | 319 | 51 | 16 |
| Group projects and other peer collaborations | 2.0\% | 16.9\% | 54.8\% | 26.4\% | 3.06 | 3.00 | 356 | 16 | 14 |
| The availability of technical support for your use of computers on and off campus | 2.7\% | 14.9\% | 58.0\% | 24.4\% | 3.04 | 3.00 | 295 | 76 | 15 |
| Evergreen's support for your development as an academic writer | 4.4\% | 15.3\% | 52.2\% | 28.0\% | 3.04 | 3.00 | 339 | 31 | 16 |
| The availability of information on the college website | 5.9\% | 14.8\% | 50.1\% | 29.1\% | 3.02 | 3.00 | 371 | 2 | 13 |
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| This year, how satisfied are you with...? Items sorted by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Very Dissatisfied <br> (1) | Dissatisfied <br> (2) | Satisfied <br> (3) | Very Satisfied <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Indicated Level Dis/Satisfaction <br> ( N ) | Not Applicable <br> ( N ) | Skipped question <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $=$ Seminars (as a way of learning) | 5.7\% | 20.6\% | 43.6\% | 30.1\% | 2.98 | 3.00 | 349 | 25 | 12 |
| The match between the classes you are taking and their description in the catalog. | 5.5\% | 18.5\% | 53.2\% | 22.9\% | 2.93 | 3.00 | 363 | 9 | 14 |
| Opportunities for learning new software and working with technology | 4.3\% | 21.7\% | 50.9\% | 23.1\% | 2.93 | 3.00 | 277 | 94 | 15 |
| Evergreen's support for your development in quantitative reasoning (e.g. mathematics, statistics) | 4.5\% | 20.3\% | 54.5\% | 20.7\% | 2.91 | 3.00 | 222 | 147 | 17 |
| Amount of time that you are able to devote to your academic work | 2.7\% | 25.3\% | 51.8\% | 20.2\% | 2.89 | 3.00 | 367 | 1 | 18 |
| Your own ability to keep up with the reading workload | 3.6\% | 26.9\% | 47.6\% | 21.9\% | 2.88 | 3.00 | 361 | 6 | 19 |
| "- ${ }^{\text {an }}$ - Your social experiences at Evergreen | 4.8\% | 22.6\% | 52.5\% | 20.1\% | 2.88 | 3.00 | 354 | 17 | 15 |
| - Social climate in seminars | 5.8\% | 25.6\% | 45.5\% | 23.1\% | 2.86 | 3.00 | 347 | 26 | 13 |
| Campus activities | 6.3\% | 20.9\% | 60.8\% | 12.0\% | 2.78 | 3.00 | 301 | 67 | 18 |
| Your experiences with diversity at Evergreen (ethnic/racial, political, socioeconomic, sexual orientation, etc.) | 10.1\% | 26.1\% | 41.7\% | 22.1\% | 2.76 | 3.00 | 357 | 14 | 15 |
| - The amount of diversity at Evergreen | 15.9\% | 35.7\% | 33.5\% | 14.8\% | 2.47 | 2.00 | 364 | 8 | 14 |

Note: The mini-charts above are provided to give one a sense of how the responses are distributed among the choices: "Very Dissatisfied," "Dissatisfied," "Satisfied," and "Very Satisfied." The $Y$-axes of all of the charts on this page are set at a maximum of $60 \%$ in order to make smaller percentages on the charts visible.
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## Expectation 5.3: mean score 2.0

|  | N | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No Evidence (0) | 15 | 10 |
| .5 | 2 | 1 |
| Weak Evidence (1) | 25 | 16 |
| 1.5 | 3 | 2 |
| Sufficient (2) | 46 | 30 |
| 2.5 | 7 | 5 |
| Strong Evidence (3) | 54 | 36 |

Expectation 6: As a culmination of your education, demonstrate depth, breadth, and synthesis of learning \& the ability to reflect on the personal \& social significance of that learning.

Like Expectation 5, Expectation 6 is a multifaceted expectation that for coding purposes had to be subdivided. Again, upon consideration of the type of evidence in the transcripts, it facilitated coding to consider as separate issues depth, breadth, synthesis of learning, and the ability to reflect on that learning. Here, the judgment of breadth of education relied heavily but not exclusively on a consideration of the types of courses for which credits were earned either at Evergreen or at transfer institutions. Breadth was scored as an overall impression by the coders of whether the student had or had not sought out a sufficiently broad education to be considered a liberal arts graduate. Similarly, judgements of depth were based not simply on a particular concentration of courses in a planning unit or otherwise identifiable field of study, but on the coders' overall impression of the degree of focus and intensity of study engaged in by the student.

While $76 \%$ of the transcripts showed sufficient to strong evidence that the students had demonstrated depth of learning, there was a concern on the part of the coders that a substantial minority of the students had failed to do sufficient advanced work in their fields. This concern is addressed in more detail later.

The scores for the remaining components of Expectation 6 reflect areas of greater potential concern. Many of the transcripts lacked student self-evaluations, and this lack made it particularly difficult to judge whether a student was capable of synthesis and reflection. We address later in our recommendations the implications of this for the practice of evaluation at Evergreen.

Only $38 \%$ of seniors graduating in 2000-2001 could demonstrate breadth of education in their transcripts. Again, this judgment of breadth relies not merely on looking at courses taken at transfer institutions and that Evergreen, but also on an in-depth analysis of the entire content of student transcripts. This finding is supported by a detailed analysis of credits and credit equivalencies that is described below.

Examples:
6a) complete advanced projects that incorporate collection and analysis of data and that build on previous work
$6 b)$ generate theoretical models, compare predictions with observations
6c) create written work or works of art that synthesize (e.g. senior capstone or thesis project)
6d) write a summative self-evaluation
6e) evidence of building upon previous academic experience
Expectation 6.1 As a culmination of your education, demonstrate depth of learning.
Expectation 6.1: mean score $=2.1$

|  | N | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No Evidence (0) | 6 | 4 |
| Weak Evidence (1) | 26 | 17 |
| 1.5 | 1 | 1 |
| Sufficient Evidence (2) | 60 | 40 |
| 2.5 | 5 | 3 |
| Strong Evidence (3) | 54 | 36 |

Expectation 6.2 As a culmination of your education, demonstrate breadth of learning.

## Expectation 6.2: mean score $=1.25$

|  | N | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No Evidence (0) | 36 | 24 |
| 0.5 | 2 | 1 |
| Weak Evidence (1) | 53 | 35 |
| 1.5 | 3 | 2 |
| Sufficient Evidence (2) | 41 | 27 |
| 2.5 | 3 | 2 |
| Strong Evidence (3) | 14 | 9 |

Expectation 6.3 As a culmination of your education, demonstrate synthesis of learning.
Expectation 6.3: mean score $=1.0$

|  | N | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No Evidence (0) | 66 | 43 |
| 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| Weak Evidence (1) | 33 | 22 |
| 1.5 | 1 | 1 |
| Sufficient Evidence (2) | 38 | 25 |
| 2.5 | 3 | 2 |
| Strong Evidence (3) | 10 | 7 |

Expectation 6.4 As a culmination of your education, demonstrate the ability to reflect on the personal and social significance of your learning.

## Expectation 6.4: mean score $=1.3$

|  | N | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No Evidence (0) | 41 | 27 |
| 0.5 | 2 | 1 |
| Weak Evidence (1) | 40 | 26 |
| 1.5 | 3 | 2 |
| Sufficient Evidence (2) | 40 | 26 |
| 2.5 | 4 | 3 |
| Strong Evidence (3) | 22 | 14 |

## General Conclusions

The faculty participants felt that the critical division in the strength of evidence scale was between weak and sufficient evidence. Collapsing the frequencies shown in the tables above to sufficient evidence and insufficient evidence, that is, between scores of two and above and scores below two, we obtain these percentages of student transcripts showing sufficient evidence of having met each Expectation:

|  | Expectation |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 (assume responsibility) | Percent showing Sufficient Evidence |
| 2.1 (collaboration) | $92 \%$ |
| 2.2 (diverse society) | $92 \%$ |
| 3 (communication) | $70 \%$ |
| 4 (critical thinking) | $91 \%$ |
| 5.1 (qualitative modes) | $87 \%$ |
| 5.2 (quantitative modes) | $80 \%$ |
| 5.3 (creative modes) | $47 \%$ |
| 6.1 (depth) | $70 \%$ |
| 6.2 (breadth) | $78 \%$ |
| 6.3 (synthesis) | $38 \%$ |
| 6.4 (reflection) | $34 \%$ |

These results indicate that the principal areas of weakness in Evergreen students graduating in 2000-2001 appear to be their relative inability to perform quantitative modes of inquiry (Expectation 5.2), the lack of breadth in their education (Expectation 6.2), and the inability to demonstrate synthesis of learning and to reflect on the personal and social significance of that learning (Expectations 6.3 and 6.4). While much of this apparent deficiency may merely reflect the lack of evidence in Evergreen transcripts, the notion that many Evergreen students lack breadth in their education is also supported by evidence from the analysis of transfer credits and Evergreen credit equivalencies. Results from this credit analysis are presented following the section on global ratings.
the personal and social significance of learning' (Expectation 6.4): means of 2.6 and 1.4 for females vs. means of 2.3 and 1.1 for males, respectively. Minority students showed a higher average score for breadth (Expectation 6.2): a mean score of 1.7 vs. a mean score for Caucasians of 1.2.

Besides the demographic variables, two additional variables from the curriculum pathways analysis were also tested against the Expectations. (See the report on the pathways analysis for details on the construction and definition of these variables.) Briefly, these variables classified students based on the particular mix of programs, courses, contracts and internships they had taken at Evergreen, called a 'path type', and by the number of transfer credits they had earned before entering Evergreen, called a 'transfer type.' The transfer type variable distinguished between high school direct students, early transfer students, traditional two-year transfer students, and late transfer students.

There were no significant differences by path type for any of the individual Expectations or in each of the global ratings. This indicates that there is no evidence of any particular advantage or disadvantage for e.g. students taking only full-time programs during their career at Evergreen vs. those taking a mix of programs, courses, and contracts. There appears to be a significant degree of uniformity among the different types of curriculum in terms of enabling students to meet the Expectations.

The only significant differences by transfer type observed were for Expectations 5.1 (qualitative modes), 5.3 (creative modes), and 6.1 (depth). High school direct and early transfer students showed significantly higher mean scores for application of qualitative and creative modes of inquiry (mean 2.4) than traditional two-year and late transfer students (mean 1.9). Late transfer students showed a significantly lower mean for depth (Expectation 6.1) than all other types (mean 1.7 vs. mean 2.2). However, there were no significant differences for any of the overall ratings by transfer status of the students. This study thus shows little evidence of any advantage or disadvantage in meeting the Expectations that transfer students may have compared to high school direct students at Evergreen.

## 6. Summary of Findings

The results indicate that large majorities of the graduating seniors show evidence in their transcripts that they can articulate and assume responsibility for their own work ( $92 \%$ ), participate collaboratively and responsibly ( $92 \%$ ), communicate creatively and effectively ( $91 \%$ ), and demonstrate integrative, independent and critical thinking ( $87 \%$ ). There are a relatively lower percentage who show evidence of the ability to apply quantitative modes of inquiry ( $47 \%$ ), evidence for breadth of education (38\%), and evidence for the ability to demonstrate synthesis of learning ( $34 \%$ ) and to reflect on the personal and social significance of that learning (43\%).

Twenty one of the 71 transcripts analyzed for credits and credit equivalencies in the traditional disciplinary areas ( $30 \%$ ) also showed a lack of breadth. Defining "lack of breadth" as showing no or weak evidence of breadth as defined in Expectation 6.2, and defining "lack of depth" as
showing no or weak evidence of depth as defined in Expectation 6.1, 16\% of the sample of graduates lacked evidence of both breadth and depth in their Evergreen educations.

The proportion of students whose transcripts showed evidence of meeting the Expectations in an overall sense ranged widely depending on the definition of the global rating, from $7 \%$ to $85 \%$, with $59 \%$ considered to have met the Expectations in a subjective rating by transcript scorers. (Which overall definition should be adopted, if any, has not been determined.)

These results have limited meaning in and of themselves. What will be important is whether the proportion of students graduating from Evergreen who meet the Expectations increases in years to come. Considering that this is a baseline sample, the faculty participants were in general encouraged by the overall proportion of graduating seniors who they felt met the Expectations. One would expect that with increased attention to the Expectations, these proportions should naturally increase.

The ability to meet the Expectations, or at least the ability to show evidence for meeting the Expectations in transcripts, is not substantially influenced by gender or race. There was some indication that older students may be significantly more likely to meet the Expectations as measured by the subjective global rating. There likewise was no evidence of any particular advantage or disadvantage for e.g. students taking only full-time programs during their career at Evergreen vs. those taking a mix of programs, courses, and contracts, or for students transferring to Evergreen compared to those entering Evergreen directly from high school.

## 7. Reflections

The Faculty Workshop concluded with an in-depth and invigorating discussion about the strengths and weaknesses of Evergreen transcripts. The reflections below represent what may be considered the "sense of the group," but this should not be taken to imply that the group was unanimously agreed upon each point.

We need to better articulate the Expectations to students, giving lots of examples, if we expect the students to "get it." It would also be helpful to have something in between the Expectations and the examples, for instance, some broader descriptions of what a "qualitative mode of inquiry" actually looks like. We would also like to encourage broad-based community reflection to raise awareness, particularly among newer faculty, about the origins and purposes of the Evergreen pedagogical model. Finally, if we want students to demonstrate something, such as synthesis of education and the ability to reflect on the personal and social significance of their learning, we need to ask them to do so.

We feel that there is a problem at Evergreen with students lacking breadth in their educations. We find that there are too many students who are not meeting the Expectations for breadth and depth of education; there are too many students avoiding these critical aspects of a liberal arts education. To help address this issue, we think that students taking a large number of programs within a single planning unit need to be more consistently and strongly advised by faculty to do advanced undergraduate work in that area. Beyond this, we note that there are no institutional

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen New Student Survey 2005

## Confidence

New students were asked to indicate their level of confidence in a series of areas. The areas or items are sorted below from highest to lowest mean level of confidence. Both first-time, first-year students and transfer students had the highest mean level of confidence for the items: will obtain a well-rounded interdisciplinary education, will be able to use your education to meet life-long goals, and have the skills and abilities to succeed at Evergreen.

## First time, First-year Students ( $\mathrm{N}=417$ )

How confident are you that you...

| Confidence Items Listed from Highest to Lowest Means ( $0=$ Not at AII, 1=A Little, 2=Somewhat, 3=Quite, 4=Very) | Mean | Not at All <br> (0) | A Little <br> (1) | Somewhat <br> (2) | Quite <br> (3) | Very <br> (4) | Missing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Will obtain a well-rounded interdisciplinary education | 3.39 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 8.4\% | 42.3\% | 48.6\% | 10 |
| Will be able to use your education to meet life-long goals | 3.39 | 0.2\% | 1.0\% | 10.5\% | 36.3\% | 52.0\% | 9 |
| Have the skills and abilities to succeed at Evergreen | 3.27 | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 13.0\% | 43.3\% | 42.5\% | 8 |
| Will have the kind of emotional support from family and friends that you need | 3.16 | 0.7\% | 5.4\% | 17.9\% | 29.2\% | 46.7\% | 10 |
| Can get the kinds of courses you want at Evergreen | 3.04 | 1.0\% | 3.2\% | 19.8\% | 43.3\% | 32.8\% | 8 |
| Will fit in at Evergreen socially | 2.91 | 1.7\% | 7.3\% | 23.7\% | 32.3\% | 35.0\% | 8 |
| Will be able to find a satisfying job or make a desired career change after graduation | 2.88 | 0.0\% | 6.6\% | 25.2\% | 41.6\% | 26.7\% | 8 |
| Will obtain technical skills in a specific field | 2.72 | 0.5\% | 8.1\% | 32.1\% | 37.3\% | 22.1\% | 9 |
| Will be able to manage any debt that you incur in completing your education at Evergreen | 2.70 | 1.2\% | 11.3\% | 28.7\% | 33.8\% | 25.0\% | 9 |
| Have the funding to complete your studies at Evergreen | 2.68 | 2.9\% | 11.7\% | 28.1\% | 29.1\% | 28.1\% | 8 |

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

## Responses of Olympia Campus Students

## Confidence

A series of questions prompted students for their level of confidence in areas ranging from confidence in their ability to succeed at Evergreen to their ability to manage debt incurred in completing an education at Evergreen. Questions are listed below in order of highest to lowest mean response. Olympia campus respondents expressed most confidence overall in having the skills and abilities to succeed at Evergreen, having the kind of emotional support needed from family and friends, and being able to use their education to meet life-long goals. The areas where there were larger percentages of Olympia campus respondents expressing no confidence or little confidence and also the items with the lowest mean responses were: fitting in at Evergreen socially ( $26.8 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little"); managing debt incurred ( $26.9 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little"); being able to find a satisfying job or making a career change after graduation ( $24.5 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little"); and obtaining technical skills in a specific field ( $32.1 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little").

| How confid Items sorted | nt are you that you...? ( $\mathrm{N}=386$ ) by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Not at All <br> (0) | A Little <br> (1) | Somewhat <br> (2) | Quite <br> (3) | Very <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Skipped question <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have the skills and abilities to succeed at Evergreen | 0.5\% | 2.1\% | 13.4\% | 38.2\% | 45.7\% | 3.26 | 3.00 | 12 |
| "- ${ }^{-1}$ | Have the kind of emotional support from family and friends that you need | 2.9\% | 8.8\% | 15.8\% | 33.4\% | 39.0\% | 2.97 | 3.00 | 12 |
| "- ${ }_{\text {- }}$ | Will be able to use your education to meet life-long goals | 0.8\% | 7.5\% | 23.6\% | 32.7\% | 35.4\% | 2.94 | 3.00 | 13 |
|  | Will obtain a well-rounded interdisciplinary education | 2.1\% | 9.4\% | 25.9\% | 36.9\% | 25.7\% | 2.75 | 3.00 | 12 |
|  | Have the funding to complete your studies at Evergreen | 4.0\% | 14.5\% | 27.6\% | 28.2\% | 25.7\% | 2.57 | 3.00 | 13 |
|  | Can get the kinds of courses you want at Evergreen | 5.9\% | 15.8\% | 34.8\% | 29.9\% | 13.6\% | 2.30 | 2.00 | 12 |


| How confident are you that you...? ( $\mathrm{N}=386$ ) Items sorted by highest to lowest mean (average) response |  | Not at All (0) | A Little <br> (1) | Somewhat <br> (2) | Quite <br> (3) | Very <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Skipped question <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fit in at Evergreen socially | 8.0\% | 18.8\% | 29.0\% | 24.9\% | 19.3\% | 2.29 | 2.00 | 13 |
| - - - - | Will be able to manage any debt that you incur in completing your education at Evergreen | 7.0\% | 19.9\% | 29.6\% | 23.9\% | 19.6\% | 2.29 | 2.00 | 14 |
| - - - - | Will be able to find a satisfying job or make a desired career change after graduation | 6.5\% | 18.0\% | 34.4\% | 24.2\% | 16.9\% | 2.27 | 2.00 | 14 |
| -п.\|- | Will obtain technical skills in a specific field | 10.0\% | 22.1\% | 27.5\% | 26.7\% | 13.7\% | 2.12 | 2.00 | 15 |

Note: The mini-charts above are provided to give one a sense of how the responses are distributed among the choices: "Not at All," "A Little," "Somewhat," "Quite," and "Very." The $Y$-axes of all of the charts on this page are set at a maximum of $50 \%$ in order to make smaller percentages on the charts visable.

## The Evergreen State College

Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

## Experience of First-time, First-year Students

## Confidence

A series of questions prompted students for their level of confidence in areas ranging from confidence in their ability to succeed at Evergreen to their ability to manage debt incurred in completing an education at Evergreen. Questions are listed below in the order of highest to lowest mean response. First-time, first-year respondents expressed more confidence overall in having the kind of emotional support needed from family and friends and having the skills and abilities to succeed at Evergreen. The areas where there were larger percentages of first-time, first-year respondents expressing no confidence or little confidence were: fitting in at Evergreen socially ( $30.7 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little"); getting the kinds of courses desired ( $27.2 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little"); being able to find a satisfying job or making a career change after graduation ( $34.2 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little"); and obtaining technical skills in a specific field ( $37.2 \%$ indicated "Not at All" or "A Little").

| How confid Items sorted | nt are you that you...? ( $\mathrm{N}=117$ ) by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Not at All <br> (0) | A Little (1) | Somewhat <br> (2) | Quite <br> (3) | Very <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Skipped question <br> (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have the kind of emotional support from family and friends that you need | 1.8\% | 9.6\% | 14.9\% | 25.4\% | 48.2\% | 3.09 | 3.00 | 3 |
|  | Have the skills and abilities to succeed at Evergreen | 0.9\% | 3.5\% | 20.2\% | 37.7\% | 37.7\% | 3.08 | 3.00 | 3 |
|  | Will be able to use your education to meet life-long goals | 1.8\% | 11.4\% | 23.7\% | 32.5\% | 30.7\% | 2.79 | 3.00 | 3 |
|  | Will obtain a well-rounded interdisciplinary education | 0.9\% | 14.9\% | 28.9\% | 32.5\% | 22.8\% | 2.61 | 3.00 | 3 |
|  | Have the funding to complete your studies at Evergreen | 6.1\% | 14.0\% | 32.5\% | 23.7\% | 23.7\% | 2.45 | 2.00 | 3 |
|  | Will be able to manage any debt that you incur in completing your education at Evergreen | 5.3\% | 14.0\% | 36.0\% | 23.7\% | 21.1\% | 2.41 | 2.00 | 3 |
|  | Fit in at Evergreen socially | 9.6\% | 21.1\% | 26.3\% | 20.2\% | 22.8\% | 2.25 | 2.00 | 3 |


| How confident are you that you...? ( $\mathrm{N}=117$ ) Items sorted by highest to lowest mean (average) response |  | Not at All <br> (0) | A Little <br> (1) | Somewhat <br> (2) | Quite <br> (3) | Very <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Skipped question (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - - - - | Can get the kinds of courses you want at Evergreen | 3.5\% | 23.7\% | 34.2\% | 24.6\% | 14.0\% | 2.22 | 2.00 | 3 |
|  | Will be able to find a satisfying job or make a desired career change after graduation | 8.8\% | 25.4\% | 28.9\% | 22.8\% | 14.0\% | 2.08 | 2.00 | 3 |
|  | Will obtain technical skills in a specific field | 11.5\% | 25.7\% | 31.9\% | 21.2\% | 9.7\% | 1.92 | 2.00 | 4 |

Note: The mini-charts above are provided to give a sense of how responses are distributed among the choices: "Not at All," "A Little," "Somewhat," "Quite," and "Very." The Y-axes of all of the charts on this page are set at a maximum of $60 \%$ in order to make smaller percentages on the chart visible.



| CAMP | dCLAS | dCOURSE | CountOfCOURS | S COURSE_TITLE | SUBJ_CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OLY | SR | PRASU |  | 5 PracticeSustainableAg-Fall 06 | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | DYNDI |  | 5 Dynamics of Diversity, The | PRGP |
| OLY | SR | ALGTH |  | 5 Algebraic Thinking | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | HERIT |  | 5 Heritage | PRGA |
| OLY | SR | PREC1 |  | 5 Precalculus I | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | THUES |  | 5 Thuesen,Erik | ILC |
| OLY | SR | COMKN |  | 4 Common Knowledge | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | MYTAM |  | 4 Myth America | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | MEASS |  | 4 Medical Assistant Program | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | WHYSH |  | 4 Why Shakespeare? | PRGP |
| OLY | SR | WRICH |  | 4 Writing for Change | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | MOTIO |  | 4 Motion-Soph-Sr | PRGA |
| OLY | SR | CINEM |  | 4 Cinematography: Techniques | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | ACAWR |  | 4 Academic Writing as Inquiry | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | JAPF1 |  | 4 Japanese, First Year I | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | POSTM |  | 4 Postmodern/Postmodernism | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | ARAB1 |  | 4 Arabic, Beginning I | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | GETTI |  | 4 Getting the Job Done | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | GRANT |  | 4 Grantwriting Fundraising Sec A | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | PILLA |  | 4 Pillars of Fire-Soph-Sr | PRGA |
| OLY | SR | FREEG |  | 4 Freeman,George | ILC |
| OLY | SR | WHYBU |  | 4 Why Businesses Succeed | PRGP |
| OLY | SR | DOBBS |  | 4 Dobbs, Carolyn E | ILC |
| OLY | SR | HISTO |  | 4 History and Systems in Psych | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | APSEN |  | 4 A Project Studio Environment | PRGP |
| OLY | SR | HITCH |  | 4 Hitchens, David | INT |
| OLY | SR | PSYIN |  | 4 Psychology, Introduction to | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | ALDEW |  | 4 Art of Living \& Dying:East/Wes | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | DOING |  | 4 Doing Research | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | SPAB1 |  | 4 Spanish, Beg I Sec D | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | ORIBE |  | 4 Orissi Dance, Beginning | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | UNSEL |  | 4 Unsel, Julianne | INT |
| OLY | SR | POWAS |  | 4 Power American Society | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | MARCO |  | 3 Marketing Communications | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | FOURP |  | 3 Four Philosophers | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | DAVIS |  | 3 Davis,Stacey | ILC |
| OLY | SR | FOVA |  | 3 Foundation of Visual Art | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | LEVER |  | 3 Leverich,Robert T | ILC |
| OLY | SR | FLATA |  | 3 Flat Art | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | HASTI |  | 3 Hastings,Rachel | ILC |
| OLY | SR | IMAGE |  | 3 Images of Women | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | IMPER |  | 3 Imperialism - Soph-Senior | PRGA |
| OLY | SR | FILME |  | 3 Filmer,John R | ILC |
| OLY | SR | GROSS |  | 3 Grossman,Zoltan | ILC |
| OLY | SR | SCUFM |  | 3 Sculpture, Fundamentals of | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | MUSTE |  | 3 Music Technology Intro | CRSE |


| CAMP | dCLAS | dCOURSE | CountOfCOURS | S COURSE_TITLE | SUBJ_CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OLY | SR | PUHEX |  | 3 Public Health, Expl in-JR, SR | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | SPAB1 |  | 3 Spanish, Beg I Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | SHADO |  | 3 Shadow of Enlightenment-So-Sr | PRGA |
| OLY | SR | SHADO |  | 3 Shadow of Enlightenment | PRGA |
| OLY | SR | EEIND |  | 3 Fundamentals/Indian Music EE | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | OTT |  | 3 Ott,Janet F | ILC |
| OLY | SR | SPAIN |  | 3 Spanish, Inter I Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | PHILI |  | 3 Philosophy as Form of Life | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | PIAVO |  | 3 Piano and Voice, Into | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | PLEDO |  | 3 PLE Document Writing | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | CARTO |  | 3 Cartography | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | BEHSA |  | 3 Behavior Ecol Pac Salmon-UG | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | PRECA |  | 3 Precalculus | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | ORIIA |  | 3 Orissi Dance, Inter/Advanced | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | SUSTA |  | 3 Sustainable Design-Soph-Sr | PRGA |
| OLY | SR | SWEET |  | 3 Sweet,Lisa | ILC |
| OLY | SR | UGRES |  | 3 UG Research-Sunderman | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | STRRE |  | 3 Stress \& Resilience | PRGP |
| OLY | SR | METHO |  | 3 Methods of Applied Mathematics | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | AFROB |  | 3 Afro-Brazilian Dance Sec A | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | AFROB |  | 2 Afro-Brazilian Dance Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | YANNO |  | 2 Yannone,Sandra L | ILC |
| OLY | SR | ECOIN |  | 2 Ecology, Intro to | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | ADVRE |  | 2 Adv Resrch ES - Fischer | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | RAINS |  | 2 Rains,Frances V | INT |
| OLY | SR | SCHEU |  | 2 Scheuerell,Steven | ILC |
| OLY | SR | AURAN |  | 2 Aurand, Susan | ILC |
| OLY | SR | ASL1 |  | 2 Am Sign Language I Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | WILLI |  | 2 Williams, Sean | ILC |
| OLY | SR | SPAB1 |  | 2 Spanish, Beg I Sec C | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | SCHWL |  | 2 Schwartz,Leonard | ILC |
| OLY | SR | UGRES |  | 2 UG Research-Schofield | PRGM |
| OLY | SR | TSUTS |  | 2 Tsutsumi,Setsuko | ILC |
| OLY | SR | FISCH |  | 2 Fischel,Anne | ILC |
| OLY | SR | SPAB1 |  | 2 Spanish, Beg I Sec A | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | HAYES |  | 2 Hayes,Ruth | ILC |
| OLY | SR | DOBBS |  | 2 Dobbs,Carolyn E | INT |
| OLY | SR | FORD |  | 2 Ford,Teresa L | ILC |
| OLY | SR | GOMEZ |  | 2 Gomez,Jose | ILC |
| OLY | SR | BUSLA |  | 2 Business Law | CRSE |
| OLY | SR | MCMIL |  | 2 McMillin,Paul | ILC |
| OLY | SR | MEEKE |  | 2 Meeker,Laurie | ILC |
| OLY | SR | LUCAS |  | 2 Lucas-Jennings,Cheri | ILC |
| OLY | SR | LARDN |  | 2 Lardner,Emily Decker | ILC |
| OLY | SR | LANGI |  | 2 Langille,Nicole | ILC |
| OLY | SR | ZARAG |  | 2 Zaragoza,Tony | ILC |







| CAMP | dCLAS | dCOURSE | CountOfCOURS | \$ COURSE_TITLE | SUBJ_CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OLY | JR | RUSSI |  | 7 Russia and Eurasia-Soph-Sr | PRGA |
| OLY | JR | PUBHE |  | 7 Public Health, Current Issues | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | SPAB1 |  | 7 Spanish, Beg I Sec C | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | AUDR1 |  | 7 Audio Recording 1 | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PETEG |  | 7 Peterson,Gary | ILC |
| OLY | JR | POLCU |  | 7 PoliticalCultureExchange So-Sr | PRGA |
| OLY | JR | CRITI |  | 6 Critical Reasoning | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ECOIN |  | 6 Ecology, Intro to | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PRAWR |  | 6 Practice of Writing Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | COUEW |  | 6 Counseling \& Therapy:East/West | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PROLE |  | 6 Prolegomena to a Future Poetic | PRGM |
| OLY | JR | ARTSA |  | 6 Arts of the Sailor,The | PRGM |
| OLY | JR | SILK |  | 6 Silk Roads | PRGP |
| OLY | JR | GRANT |  | 6 Grantwriting Fundraising Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ARTSK |  | 6 Art of Silkscreening, an Intro | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | BALBE |  | 6 Ballet, Beginning Sec A | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | CARTO |  | 6 Cartography | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | NEWME |  | 6 New Media Studies | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | CHEG1 |  | 6 Chemistry, General | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | CHEOR |  | 6 Chemistry, Organic | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | STATI |  | 6 Statistics, Intro to Sec A | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | CORNE |  | 6 Cornerstone Seminar | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | METAL |  | 6 Metalworking Intro JR,SR | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PHOBE |  | 6 Photography Beginning | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ENTBA |  | 5 Entrepreneurial Basics | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PUHEX |  | 5 Public Health, Expl in-JR, SR | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | WHYSH |  | 5 Why Shakespeare? | PRGP |
| OLY | JR | MUSTE |  | 5 Music Technology Intro | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | NEOIN |  | 5 Neon Intro Shaping Light JR,SR | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | FREBE |  | 5 French Beginning I | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | JAPS1 |  | 5 Japanese, Second Year I | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | WOOIN |  | 5 Woodworking, Intro JR, SR | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | APSEN |  | 5 A Project Studio Environment | PRGP |
| OLY | JR | GATEW |  | 5 Gateways Seminar | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | DRABE |  | 5 Drawing Beginning | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | SPAIN |  | 4 Spanish, Inter I Sec A | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ALGTH |  | 4 Algebraic Thinking | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | SPAIN |  | 4 Spanish, Inter I Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ARTWO |  | 4 Art and Women: Masq \& Metaphor | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | HERIT |  | 4 Heritage | PRGA |
| OLY | JR | AFROB |  | 4 Afro-Brazilian Dance Sec A | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PRASU |  | 4 PracticeSustainableAg-Fall 06 | PRGM |
| OLY | JR | FREIN |  | 4 French Intermediate I | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PREC1 |  | 4 Precalculus I | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ALDEW |  | 3 Art of Living \& Dying:East/Wes | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | HITCH |  | 3 Hitchens, David | ILC |


| CAMP | dCLAS | dCOURSE_NUM | CountOfCOURS | \$ COURSE_TITLE | SUBJ_CODE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OLY | JR | BENSO |  | 3 Benson-Quaziena,Marcella | ILC |
| OLY | JR | CERSC |  | 3 Ceramics, Beg Sculpture-JR,SR | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ORIIA |  | 3 Orissi Dance, Inter/Advanced | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | SOSME |  | 3 SOS Media | PRGM |
| OLY | JR | CIRCI |  | 3 Enviro Action Global Warming | PRGP |
| OLY | JR | MOTIO |  | 3 Motion-Soph-Sr | PRGA |
| OLY | JR | MANVO |  | 3 Managing Volunteers | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | DIFFE |  | 3 Diffendal,Elizabeth | ILC |
| OLY | JR | DIFFE |  | 3 Diffendal,Elizabeth | INT |
| OLY | JR | CINEM |  | 3 Cinematography: Techniques | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | RAINS |  | 3 Rains,Frances V | ILC |
| OLY | JR | EEBLD |  | 3 Building Arts EE-A | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | IESWA |  | 3 Int Env Studies Water-Soph | PRGL |
| OLY | JR | MEASS |  | 3 Medical Assistant Program | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | SPAB1 |  | 3 Spanish, Beg I Sec D | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | ACAWR |  | 3 Academic Writing as Inquiry | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | DOING |  | 2 Doing Research | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | CURRI |  | 2 Spec Ed-Assessment in | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | UNSEL |  | 2 Unsel,Julianne | INT |
| OLY | JR | ADVRE |  | 2 Adv Resrch ES-Thuesen | PRGM |
| OLY | JR | FOREN |  | 2 ForensicsCriminalBehavior-Soph | PRGL |
| OLY | JR | FREEG |  | 2 Freeman,George | ILC |
| OLY | JR | EARCH |  | 2 GRH Early Childhood Dev | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | FEDDE |  | 2 Feddersen, Joe | ILC |
| OLY | JR | ASL1 |  | 2 Am Sign Language I Sec B | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | EEKOM |  | 2 German, Conversational EE | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | FOREN |  | 2 Forensics Criminal Behavior-Fr | PRGL |
| OLY | JR | FOPA |  | 2 Foundations of Performing Arts | PRGA |
| OLY | JR | FREEG |  | 2 Freeman,George | INT |
| OLY | JR | HAYES |  | 2 Hayes,Ruth | INT |
| OLY | JR | WOOIN |  | 2 Woodworking, Intro FR,SO | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PHILI |  | 2 Philosophy as Form of Life | CRSE |
| OLY | JR | PESSI |  | 2 Pessiki,Peter J | ILC |
| OLY | JR | HENDE |  | 2 Henderson,Martha | ILC |
| OLY | JR | HITCH |  | 2 Hitchens, David | INT |
| OLY | JR | METHO |  | 2 Methods of Applied Mathematics | PRGM |
| OLY | JR | THUES |  | 2 Thuesen,Erik | ILC |
| OLY | JR | NANAH |  | 2 Nature Natural History-Soph | PRGL |
| OLY | JR | PARKN |  | 2 Parkes,Nancy A | ILC |
| OLY | JR | SHADO |  | 2 Shadow of Enlightenment | PRGA |
| OLY | JR | GRISS |  | 2 Grissom,Tom J | ILC |
| OLY | JR | GOMEZ |  | 2 Gomez,Jose | INT |
| OLY | JR | SMURR |  | 2 Smurr,Robert | ILC |
| OLY | JR | THUES |  | 2 Thuesen,Erik | INT |
| OLY | JR | BLEVI |  | 1 Blevins,James | ILC |
| OLY | JR | BOHME |  | 1 Bohmer,Peter G | ILC |




## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

## Responses of Olympia Campus Students

## This year, what kinds of classes have you taken? (Academic Year 05-06)

Students were asked to indicate the kinds of classes they took during Academic Year 05-06. Over 70\% of Olympia campus respondents (73.3\%) indicated that they had taken at least one day-time, full-time program. Over $40 \%$ indicated that they had taken an Evening and Weekend Studies course (2-6 credits per course.) A total of $28.5 \%$ of the Olympia campus respondents said that they had taken a part-time program ( $8-12$ credits per program), and $21.8 \%$ indicated that they had taken an independent learning contract. Of Olympia campus respondents, $12.4 \%$ had taken an internship, $1.3 \%$ had taken a class through Leisure Education, and $0.8 \%$ of the respondents reported taking a class through Extended Education.

This year, what kinds of classes have you taken? $(\mathrm{N}=386)$
Percent indicated had taken*

| Day-time, full-time program(s) | $73.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Evening and Weekend course(s) (2-6 credits per course) | $41.2 \%$ |
| Evening and Weekend part-time program(s) (8-12 credits per program) | $28.5 \%$ |
| Independent learning contract(s) | $21.8 \%$ |
| Internship(s) | $12.4 \%$ |
| Class(es) through Leisure Education | $1.3 \%$ |
| Class(es) through Extended Education | $0.8 \%$ |

*Percentages do not add up to $100 \%$ because students could indicate as many different types of programs/courses as they had taken in a single year.


## Combinations of Classes Taken During the Year (In Mutually Exclusive Categories)

In order to understand the combinations of class types that respondents had taken in one year, respondents were grouped into categories based on the kinds of classes they reported taking. Participation in Leisure Education and Extended Education were not included in this analysis. The largest percentage of respondents reported taking daytime programs only (33.4\%) with the next largest group reporting a combination of daytime programs and Evening and Weekend Studies options (21.2\%). Fifteen percent of respondents took Evening and Weekend Studies programs and/or courses only. In the table below, Evening and Weekend Studies refers to either half-time programs or courses. Independent learning options refers to contracts and internships.

## Combinations of Classes Taken During Year ( $\mathrm{N}=386$ )

| Daytime programs only <br> (No participation in Evening and Weekend Studies or Independent learning <br> options) | $33.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Daytime programs and Evening and Weekend Studies only <br> (No Independent learning options) | $21.2 \%$ |
| Evening and Weekend Studies only <br> (No Daytime programs or independent work) |  |
| Combination of all three types <br> (Daytime programs, Evening and Weekend Studies, and independent learning <br> options) | $15.0 \%$ |
| Daytime and independent learning options only <br> (No Evening and Weekend Studies) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 \%}$ |
| Evening and Weekend Studies and independent learning options only <br> (No Daytime programs) | $8.3 \%$ |
| Independent learning options only <br> (No daytime programs or Evening and Weekend Studies) | $7.8 \%$ |

## Combinations of Classes Taken During Year
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## Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

## Responses of Olympia Campus Students

## Evergreen's Contributions to Learning in Various Skills

Students were asked a series of questions about Evergreen's contribution to their growth in various skills ranging from time management to critically analyzing information. Items with the highest mean response among Olympia campus respondents include: learning independently, participating in class discussions, synthesizing information and ideas from many sources, and critically analyzing written information. On average, respondents rated Evergreen's contribution to their learning lower on the items: using computer technology to present work, find information, or solve problems; readiness for career; understanding and applying scientific methods; and understanding and applying quantitative principles and methods.

| To what ext your growth Items sorted | ent have your Evergreen experiences contributed to in each of the following? ( $\mathrm{N}=386$ ) <br> by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Not at All <br> (0) | Very Little <br> (1) | Some <br> (2) | Quite a bit <br> (3) | A lot (4) | Mean | Median | Skipped question (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Learning independently | 0.5\% | 5.2\% | 22.7\% | 39.1\% | 32.5\% | 2.98 | 3.00 | 20 |
|  | Participating in class discussions | 1.6\% | 6.0\% | 27.2\% | 39.0\% | 26.2\% | 2.82 | 3.00 | 19 |
|  | Synthesizing information and ideas from many sources | 1.6\% | 4.4\% | 29.2\% | 42.3\% | 22.4\% | 2.80 | 3.00 | 20 |
|  | Critically analyzing written information | 1.6\% | 5.7\% | 28.1\% | 42.3\% | 22.1\% | 2.78 | 3.00 | 20 |
|  | Reading for academic purposes | 1.4\% | 6.0\% | 31.5\% | 39.1\% | 22.0\% | 2.74 | 3.00 | 18 |
|  | Working cooperatively in a group | 2.7\% | 8.4\% | 30.2\% | 38.0\% | 20.7\% | 2.65 | 3.00 | 18 |
|  | Understanding different philosophies and cultures | 2.2\% | 10.7\% | 30.6\% | 34.2\% | 22.4\% | 2.64 | 3.00 | 20 |
|  | Knowledge in a broad range of subjects | 1.9\% | 7.7\% | 36.0\% | 34.6\% | 19.8\% | 2.63 | 3.00 | 22 |
|  | Understanding the interaction of society and the environment | 3.8\% | 9.8\% | 34.1\% | 29.2\% | 23.2\% | 2.58 | 3.00 | 19 |
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| To what ext your growth Items sorted | ent have your Evergreen experiences contributed to in each of the following? $(\mathrm{N}=386)$ <br> by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Not at All <br> (0) | Very Little <br> (1) | Some <br> (2) | Quite a bit <br> (3) | A lot <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Skipped question (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because$ | Writing effectively | 2.7\% | 8.2\% | 36.1\% | 37.2\% | 15.8\% | 2.55 | 3.00 | 18 |
| - | Defining and solving problems | 1.9\% | 12.3\% | 34.1\% | 34.3\% | 17.4\% | 2.53 | 3.00 | 19 |
| $=$ | Recognizing your responsibilities, rights, and privileges as a citizen | 4.4\% | 12.6\% | 30.1\% | 32.0\% | 21.0\% | 2.53 | 3.00 | 20 |
| - | Speaking effectively | 1.9\% | 10.1\% | 38.9\% | 33.2\% | 16.0\% | 2.51 | 2.00 | 18 |
| - | Understanding and appreciating the arts | 5.2\% | 13.4\% | 29.0\% | 31.1\% | 21.3\% | 2.50 | 3.00 | 20 |
| $\because \square$ | Readiness for further education (graduate, professional, or doctoral) | 4.4\% | 11.3\% | 36.0\% | 27.5\% | 20.9\% | 2.49 | 2.00 | 22 |
|  | Giving effective presentations | 2.2\% | 12.0\% | 39.2\% | 31.3\% | 15.3\% | 2.46 | 2.00 | 19 |
| $=$ | Expressing yourself in creative or artistic ways | 4.6\% | 14.4\% | 33.2\% | 26.4\% | 21.3\% | 2.45 | 2.00 | 19 |
| \# $\quad$ - | Functioning as a responsible member of a diverse community | 5.4\% | 13.1\% | 36.0\% | 26.4\% | 19.1\% | 2.41 | 2.00 | 19 |
| - | Depth or expertise in a particular field | 4.4\% | 17.5\% | 32.8\% | 28.7\% | 16.7\% | 2.36 | 2.00 | 20 |
|  | Managing your time effectively | 4.9\% | 12.8\% | 38.9\% | 31.8\% | 11.7\% | 2.33 | 2.00 | 18 |
| $\square$ | Using computer technology to present work, find information, or solve problems | 7.7\% | 19.4\% | 33.3\% | 25.7\% | 13.9\% | 2.19 | 2.00 | 20 |
|  | Readiness for a career | 6.8\% | 21.8\% | 41.1\% | 18.5\% | 11.7\% | 2.07 | 2.00 | 19 |


| To what extent have your Evergreen experiences contributed to your growth in each of the following? ( $\mathrm{N}=386$ ) Items sorted by highest to lowest mean (average) response | Not at All <br> (0) | Very Little <br> (1) | Some <br> (2) | Quite a bit <br> (3) | A lot <br> (4) | Mean | Median | Skipped question |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Understanding and applying scientific principles and methods | 17.4\% | 18.0\% | 32.6\% | 17.7\% | 14.4\% | 1.94 | 2.00 | 24 |
| Understanding and applying quantitative principles and methods (e.g. mathematics, statistics) | 22.2\% | 24.7\% | 27.8\% | 15.0\% | 10.3\% | 1.66 | 2.00 | 26 |

Note: The mini-charts above are provided to give one a sense of how the responses are distributed among the choices: "Not at All," "Very Little," "Some," "Quite a Bit," and "A Lot." The Y -axes of all charts on this page are set to a maximum if $80 \%$ in order to make smaller percentages on the charts visable.

## THE EVERGREEN STATE COLLEGE 2002 ALUMNI SURVEY OF THE CLASS OF 2000-01

\section*{A. Sample and Response Rates <br> | Total Undergraduate Degree Recipients AY 2000-01 | $\mathbf{1 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number with no known address and/or e-mail | 83 |
| (Note: 49 alumni had no known contact information at the time the sample <br> was selected. Survey contact was attempted with the other 34 alumni in this <br> category, but all mail and e-mail contacts were returned undeliverable, and <br> we were unable to locate more recent contact information.) |  |
| Deceased |  |
| Final Sample Size | $\mathbf{9 3 2}$ |
| Refusal | 1 |
| No Response | 638 |
| Paper Survey Respondents | 277 |
| E-mail Survey Respondents | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4 \%}$ response rate |
| Total Respondents | $\mathbf{2 9 3}$ |}

## B. Methodology

The graduating class of 2000-01 (degree awarded between Fall 2000 and Summer 2001) was surveyed one-year after receiving baccalaureate degree, during Summer 2002.

In July 2002, surveys were mailed to all members of the graduating class who had address information. When surveys were returned as undeliverable, the Evergreen student database and internet resources were explored for new or secondary addresses to which surveys were redelivered. In late August 2002, an e-mail version of the survey was developed and sent to all alumni who had e-mail addresses available in Banner (and had not yet returned a paper survey). Completed surveys were accepted between July 2002 and January 2003. A "Greener Grad" bumper sticker was offered as a small incentive for survey completion. The stickers were mailed with a thank you note as completed surveys were received.

## C. Respondent Demographics

## Location Primarily Attended During Evergreen Studies (as identified by survey respondents)

Olympia ( $\mathrm{N}=272$ ) 92.8\%
Grays Harbor ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) $0.7 \%$

Tacoma Program ( $\mathrm{N}=17$ ) 5.8\%
Reservation-based Program ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) 0.7\%

## I. Recognition of Special Individuals

In an open-ended narrative response question, alumni were asked if there were particular individuals that made a special contribution or genuine difference to their educational or personal growth at Evergreen. 259 of the alumni respondents $(88 \%)$ wrote in a response to this question, and they identified 227 different individual faculty and staff members that had made special contributions to their growth. The list included many full-time, part-time, adjunct, visiting, and post-retirement faculty. Staff members from Academic Advising, Career Development, KEY services, the Health/Counseling Center, the Library, Media Services, Registration and Records, Student Activities, Police Services, Facilities, Lab Stores, Admissions, Food Services, Writing Center, Academic Budget Office, and Parking Office were also named.
"Faculty and staff as a whole were awesome. I was inspired and intrigued by the staff and the faculties' drive to continue their education.
Allotting time for sabbatical, weekend seminars, intensive programs, research, and other various means of gobbling up information." - alum, class of 00-01
"All the faculty members I have had in programs have gone out of their way to be open and encouraging. One of the best aspects of Evergreen is how accessible the professors are, and how closely you work with them." - alum, class of 00-01

The complete list of individuals was presented as a poster during an employee recognition ceremony in May 2003. Once the comments are reviewed to remove content that could identify the alumni respondent, they will be forwarded to the staff and faculty who were mentioned, so they can be aware of the impact they are credited with in the lives of Evergreen alumni. The five people mentioned by the highest number of alumni respondents were Craig Carlson (faculty in Culture, Text, and Language, 1973-2001), Mark Hurst (adjunct faculty in Psychology, 1997-current), Tom Rainey (faculty in Culture, Text, and Language, 1972current), Rob Cole (faculty in Environmental Studies, 1981-current), and Russ Fox (faculty in Environmental Studies, 1972-current).

## J. Alumni Recommendations

$84 \%$ of the alumni respondents ( $\mathrm{N}=246$ ) suggested things they would change about Evergreen or their experiences at Evergreen. The next table summarizes their recommendations in common categories based on review and analysis of their written comments.

| If you could change anything about Evergreen from your perspective as a <br> recent graduate, what one or two aspects would you change? | Frequency of response <br> (N=246 with at least one change) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Content | 64 | $26.0 \%$ |
| Curricular Structure | 40 | $16.3 \%$ |
| Advising and Guidance Issues | 40 | $16.3 \%$ |
| Career/Graduate School Preparation Issues | 34 | $13.8 \%$ |
| Faculty Issues | 32 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Campus Community/Diversity Issues | 27 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Personal Changes | 23 | $9.3 \%$ |
| New Graduate Degree Offerings | 20 | $8.1 \%$ |
| Administration Issues | 18 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Evaluation Issues | 18 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Student Accountability | 18 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Community Perception of Evergreen | 17 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Enrollment Services Issues (Financial Aid, Admissions, Registration) | 16 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Library/Media Services Issues | 15 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Seminar Issues | 14 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Accessibility of Services | 11 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Facilities/Equipment Issues | 10 | $4.1 \%$ |

Note: Other categories of changes that were recommended by fewer than ten alumni included issues with computing resources $(\mathrm{N}=7)$, extracurricular activities ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ), and campus police $(\mathrm{N}=2)$.

Recommendations regarding the content of academic offerings were the most common category of suggestions. Some wanted more specific topical study and others suggested more integrated studies; some alumni felt more introductory courses were needed, and others sought more opportunities for advanced work. The majority of this group of alumni suggested more specific introductory offerings that would help them achieve a basic conceptual understanding of their interest area in order to prepare for advanced work or meet graduate school admissions requirements. Expanded introductory offerings in art, mathematics/statistics, science, psychology/social service, and business were most commonly suggested. Content suggestions from alumni who wished there were more upper-division opportunities most often named the health sciences as an area for growth; others wished for more advanced offerings in business/economics, psychology, writing, foreign language, mathematics, computer science, and deaf education. The last general trend in this category included alumni who wanted more integration of interdisciplinary areas in academic programs. The most common request was for increased integration of the arts and sciences. Other alumni felt that economics, writing, and mathematics/statistics ought to have a stronger presence across interdivisional programs. Greater varieties of offerings at Evergreen's Tacoma and Grays Harbor locations were encouraged.

In regards to alumni recommendations for changes to curricular structures at Evergreen, most suggested additional access to offerings through which to explore new areas of interest or build specific skills. Alumni often made these comments in tandem with a description of the difficulty of exploring secondary interests such as art or foreign language study in addition to the workload of a full-time program. A few alumni wished for the ability to declare a major or specific degree. Several alumni named requirements that they would establish for Evergreen students which included mandatory internships, community service, senior theses, study abroad, required areas of study, and portfolio development. Others raised issues of better coordination of contracts and internships, improved information sharing between part-time and full-time curriculum, more consistency of offerings to help students plan academic pathways, enforcement of pre-requisites, and standards for determining the workload of part-time offerings.

Alumni made a number of suggestions about the need for more advising and guidance at various points of academic and post-graduation planning. Students wished they had more support in understanding their curricular options and creating a plan to move them toward longer-term education and career goals. In fact, at least ten alumni would require students to meet with academic and/or career development counselors. Some encouraged additional training for advising staff regarding career options, current graduate school entrance requirements, and available Evergreen curricular options, especially in the Sciences. Some wished that advisors had nudged them to pursue specific academic territory while at Evergreen, and others wanted more support when they first transitioned to Evergreen's learning environment.

Beyond the three main topical areas listed previously, alumni also offered a variety of suggestions regarding what they would have done differently to prepare themselves for future careers and graduate school endeavors. Comments about specific faculty members, specific teaching approaches, and seminar dynamics were also fairly common. A lack of respect for different perspectives (especially more traditional or conservative viewpoints) was addressed by quite a few students. When these sentiments were combined with a few more specific requests to diversify the student body itself in terms of cultural and ethnic backgrounds, the "campus community/diversity" category represented about $11 \%$ of the alumni comments. A wide variety of ideas for new graduate-level programs at Evergreen were named. Issues with narrative evaluations were noted, (and a few alumni desired to have a corresponding grade-point average awarded). Some alumni suggested that students should be held to a higher standard of academic performance and that faculty should hold students accountable for their work. Others felt more should be done to improve community and employer perceptions of Evergreen and its graduates. The remaining comments were primarily suggestions for specific campus offices, upgrades to physical classroom spaces and equipment, and requests for expanded hours for various services. Alumni feedback will be forwarded to the various campus offices and decision-makers that were specifically mentioned for purposes of consideration in future planning.
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"It gives you an appreciation and knowledge of philosophies, systems of thought, ideas behind great art, literature and science."
"The freedom to pursue studies that are of interest to the student and to see the practical applications of seemingly unrelated issues... I studied film and statistics in the same program. You won't find that anywhere else!"
"...l still think critical thinking was a major part and the best aspect of a liberal arts degree. With a foundation in critical thinking, proactive and self-disciplined, ideas and projects can spring forth and contribute to any workplace."

## Best Aspects of a Liberal Arts Degree

Alumni were asked: "In your opinion, what is the best aspect of a liberal arts degree?"

The greatest number of alumni $(\mathrm{N}=111)$ commented on the ability to get a broad or well-rounded education through a liberal arts education. Some alumni wrote or spoke of a broad education as allowing for the exploration of a diversity of ideas, perspectives, and fields of inquiry. Alumni commented that this breadth allows one to "communicate across fields" and to have a "broad-based understanding of the world."

A relatively large number of alumni commented on the freedom one is afforded in earning a liberal arts degree, particularly at Evergreen ( $\mathrm{N}=64$ ). Alumni expounded that this freedom allowed one to explore a variety of interests, to change directions, to pursue internships and independent study, and to gain a well-rounded general education without meeting specific graduation requirements or dwelling upon standardized testing. One alum wrote, "The diversity of my background. It is much more diverse than many of my peers who all are the same cookie cutter types with the exact same educational background. I have a much more unique outlook of my field. The freedom of the education, it just made me so much more well-rounded than the other students in my [graduate] program who all went to schools with specialized majors."

Fifty-one alumni mentioned specific skills that they had developed as a result of their liberal arts education. Communication was a common theme, with alumni reporting learning how to express themselves more creatively and effectively through writing or relating to others on an interpersonal basis.

A total of 33 alumni had comments about the versatility and flexibility of a liberal arts degree. Some alumni elaborated, saying that you could do almost anything with the degree, linking the breadth of subjects that one studies to the number of possible career opportunities in the future. Another alum commented on the liberal arts degree as having the kind of flexibility that is necessary in the current job market.

Seven alumni focused on the applicability of the degree. Alumni wrote of how the degree was applicable to real-world problems and to everyday life.

There were six alumni who responded that they did not have a liberal arts degree. Four of the six specifically replied that they have a Bachelor of Science and not a liberal arts degree, despite the fact that Evergreen awards all bachelors degrees in liberal arts and sciences. The other two simply said that they had not earned a liberal arts degree.

## Worst Aspects of a Liberal Arts Degree

Alumni were also asked: "In your opinion, what is the worst aspect of a liberal arts degree?"
The greatest number of alumni $(\mathrm{N}=68)$ stated that the worst aspect of a liberal arts degree was the lack of focus and specialization during their education. Several alumni felt that they had not mastered one skill or subject and that the degree was "too broad." One alum described the degree as "a mile wide and an inch deep." Seventeen alumni, including some of those who found that a liberal arts degree lacked focus, found that a liberal arts degree could lack practical knowledge. Four of these alumni found a lack of technical instruction in their education. One alum stated that a "gap often exists between the teaching of theory and the applied practice in the world." Nine alumni stated that there was not enough emphasis on math and science subject areas, and two stated that it lacked traditional collegiate aspects. However, three alumni found there to be a lack of breadth in a liberal arts education. Twelve alumni stated that for more specialization, graduates with a liberal arts degree must do further education. One alum said, "It begs to be coupled with a higher degree." However, eleven alumni cited difficulty in meeting the requirements of graduate school or particular jobs because of missing pieces in their academics while at Evergreen.

Several alumni ( $\mathrm{N}=46$ ), including some who found that a liberal arts degree lacked focus, stated that a liberal arts degree was not always marketable in the business world and could make it difficult to find a good job. One alum stated that a liberal arts degree is "so general that it's hard to get a job. It doesn't help you get a job just to have a degree if it is not in anything specific." Another alum said that it was "hard to find a well-paid, career oriented job." Related to this, 38 alumni stated that a liberal arts degree has a bad reputation or can be misperceived by people. Alumni stated that "people don't take the degree seriously," "that it is not recognized as a credible education," and that people/employers do not "put the same weight on a liberal arts degree compared to a more specific degree." Three students stated that a liberal arts degree from Evergreen has a poor reputation in Washington. Several alumni felt that outside audiences do not know what the degree is.

Forty-one alumni stated that one of the worst aspects of a liberal arts degree was the lack of academic structure while pursuing it. Several alumni stated that they needed more direction and advice regarding what classes to take. One alumni stated, "...I felt scattered and didn't have the guidance from faculty or myself that would have allowed me to take full-advantage of the creative freedom you have contained within the idea of a liberal arts degree." Several students stated that to succeed at Evergreen, students need to be "self-motivated" or "selfdisciplined." One alum stated that it was easy to "fall through the cracks" at Evergreen. Another alum stated that both the best and worst aspect of a liberal arts degree was "freedom."

There were other comments that do not fit into the themes mentioned above. Three alumni stated that the worst aspect of a liberal arts degree was political bias. Two alumni found that there were limited choices in picking classes; one student was overwhelmed by the choices. One alum felt that students without much "life experience" would not get much out of a liberal arts education. Another alum said that students need to take prerequisite classes before enrolling in more advanced ones. One alum stated that there was a lack of rigor in classes. Another alum wrote that a liberal arts degree "dampens your ability to ruthlessly participate in capital economies." Two alumni said they had a bachelors of science and did not know the worst aspect of a liberal arts degree.

Twenty-four alumni stated that there was no worst aspect of a liberal arts degree or could not think of one.

# Excerpts from End-of-Program Reviews that relate to faculty institutes and faculty needs 

## Campus resources that Evergreen faculty reported were most useful in planning and teaching their programs in 01-02 <br> $\mathrm{N}=79$ programs responded (which was $53 \%$ of all programs).

23\% of the responding programs mentioned faculty institutes, planning time, or other development activities. Here are their comments:

- Able to gain multitudes of outside advice \& ideas to help with teaching this program at summer planning forum. [EWS program]
- Summer institute time. We were in "new \& nearly new" (good time to talk, share ideas, etc.) [EWS program]
- Summer institutes [Core program]
- The summer Core Institute was reasonably useful in helping us plan our program. [Core program]
- The paid time in the planning workshop [CTL program and 2 Inter-area programs]
- Summer planning institutes. [2 SI programs and an EWS program]
- Planning time outside of regular academic year [CTL/EA program]
- Paid planning time with team \& with Academic Advising \& Writing Center was great. [SI program]
- Attended summer planning institute, which helped us get far ahead \& develop some practices \& documents which were invaluable. Math Across Curriculum retreat in Leavenworth. Used time well, but came away thinking TESC should do our own, since have interdisciplinary programs already \& others are just starting. [EWS program]
- Faculty summer planning institute allowed for serious planning. [EA program]
- The institutes I take in the summer are always very helpful in my thinking about my programs. [EWS program]
- Russ Fox's sessions for PT Studies clarified unknowns. [EWS program]
- WEBCT training (Julian \& Amy) [EWS program]
- Sarah Pedersen gave us excellent preparation for the internet research [SPBC program]


## Campus resources that Evergreen faculty reported were most useful in planning and teaching their programs in 02-03

$\mathrm{N}=74$ programs responded (which was $55 \%$ of all programs).

## $31 \%$ of the responding programs mentioned faculty institutes, planning time, or other development activities. Here are their comments:

- Summer workshops for faculty [Core program and ES program]
- Summer institute that provided paid time to plan for the program. [Core program]
- Summer institutes: Core planning, Math Across the Curriculum (two times), spring quarter planning retreat. [Core program]
- It was most useful for the development of our ideas, and important to the success of the program design, to have paid faculty planning time during the summer. [Core program]
- Summer institutes where we got to share experiences with other faculty. [CTL program]
- I used the web, the library, colleagues, and a summer workshop to extensively plan. [ES program]
- The planning retreat; input from other faculty and my dean [2 EWS programs]
- Summer planning institute. [EWS program, SI program, Inter-area program, and an SPBC program]
- Ideas from other science faculty was a great help. [EWS program]
- Two summer institutes we attended were crucial - the main planning institute and the Math Across the Curriculum at Sleeping Lady. Sarah also went to the web institute and developed the basics of the web site there. [EWS program]
- Collegial conversations [EA program]
- The planning time we were allotted was also great, because we worked out all the kinks to such a manner that it went beyond just getting by or making due to the 'limited resources/budget' we had. We planned and planned and planned so that we were able to do far more than what we even expected to do. [Inter-area program]


## Resources Faculty Wish They Had for Planning or Teaching Their Programs Results from the End-of-Program Review - Academic Year 2001-02

$\mathrm{N}=79$ programs responded (which was 53\% of all programs).
44 of the responding programs (56\%) identified resources that they wished they had for their programs. Below are all of their comments, which identify a number of resource issues with facilities, media support, Library resources, personnel, time, technology, program planning, and program budgets. The phrases most closely related to planning/training, diversity issues, and curriculum development are in bold print.

| Program planning unit | Resources faculty wish they had for planning/teaching their programs |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CORE } \\ & \text { (CTL) } \end{aligned}$ | Money enough to hire other people to teach the creative writing (Ha-ha!). For some time, I've been proposing that we should be delivering eval forms to faculty automatically in electronic form, as Word documents with all the required parts of the form already filled in, then having them type and print their evals on those forms directly rather than sending them to be processed by the program secretaries, so faculty could sign them and submit them right away (generally right at the evaluation conference) rather than waiting until the middle or end of the summer to have them get to the Registrar. As part of this transition, I've been proposing that we should train the program secretaries to function as research assistants to the faculty. It would have been a great help to be able to ask someone to put together a small collection of possible materials of various kinds we were looking for to fill one slot or another in our plans, rather than having to do all that legwork ourselves. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CORE } \\ \text { (CTL, SI, ES) } \end{array}$ | Better space for science work/lab space. We were very cramped for space. Seminar spaces were quite tight also. |
| CORE (EA) | More time available to plan with teaching partners. |
| CORE (ES) | Decent media support. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CORE } \\ & \text { (ES, SPBC) } \end{aligned}$ | Some members of our team think Media Loan needs reconfigured to support teaching and learning in stronger way. Waiting in line to pick up equipment, wheeling equipment to classrooms, having it break down or not work properly in the classroom, and wheeling it back ot return it is cumbersome and frustrating. Often, one member of our team simply opted to NOT use technology when it could have added a lot to a workshop. For example, if we had a short 7-minute video clip that demonstrated an important point, the 30+ minutes required to wait in line, check out the tv/vcr, wheel it over, set it up, tear it down, and wheel it back would not be worth the effort. This is a loss for the students. |
| CTL | We could' have benefited from a stronger relationship with the counseling center, and from a stronger sense of campus support for GLBTQ issues in the curriculum. |
| CTL | Further library critical resources - books \& journals; I would appreciate closer work with a library liaison, recommendations from this person early on of new publications relating to the program. |
| CTL | I asked for help scanning images, but never got any. |
| EA | Field trip to Africa to truly see how culture was influenced. |
| EA | Would have liked to be allowed to use wood/metal shops in Art Annex. Portable lighting instruments. Institutional $\$ \$$ for lab aides \& full-time staff in scenic shop/theatre. |
| EA | We need more digital cameras and post-production equipment to better accommodate student demand. We also need DV decks in faculty offices to review student works in progress. |


| ES | Media Loan doesn't guarantee reservations. How can I plan if they don't guarantee reservations? There are many days that I could not get things I needed on time from the Media Loan. They also charged me a late fee even though I returned the equipment on time. When I complained, they told me that I would have to fill out this lengthy form and that the committee would meet next week and decide whether my charge would be dropped. I simply did not appeal because $\$ 3$ late fee is not worth my time to fill out the form, and some committee meeting of a few people would certainly waste more than $\$ 3$ of taxpayers' money. Also, a teaching assistant and better statistical software, such as Splus, SAS, or SYSTATS. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ES | Time, money, and paid gophers. |
| ES | Ships to take students sampling. |
| ES | We were not given all the lab space we requested; would like to see a staff SIT hired with availability to teach class each year that I teach this program. We requested a small space for an herbarium that never came through. Lights for dissection microscopes were always a problem and much time was wasted trying to work with non-functioning lights. There were a great number of non-working lights continually put back in cabinets, and it felt as though $10 \%$ of the lights did not work. |
| ES | Laptop computers that students could check out. |
| ES | Inexpensive laptop computers to take on Costa Rica fieldtrip. |
| Inter-area (ES, EA) | Were bumped out of the lecture hall, so that could be managed differently. Would like to see updated European ethnobotany books to be added. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter-area (ES, } \\ & \text { EA) } \end{aligned}$ | The boats were very important (Seawulff and Resolute), we need to keep them. |
| Inter-area (EA, <br> SI) | Had to make due with studio space in Lab II, but we needed a permanent designated design space which is necessary for the future of the class with remodeling plans adrift. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter-area (EA, } \\ & \text { CTL) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | More support, especially for day to day paperwork \& scheduling; better, more flexible classrooms; at least one writing tutor. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter-area (CTL, } \\ & \text { SPBC) } \end{aligned}$ | Working A/V in Library 4300; media assistants to deliver and set up equipment |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Inter-area (ES, } \\ \text { EA) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | College needs permanent center for community-based work, including staff, database, and physical space to support community-based research projects. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter-area (EA, } \\ & \text { CTL) } \end{aligned}$ | More planning time outside program. Having access to our program budget before our contract starts would greatly help when we are trying to research potential program texts. Some of us can't afford to buy these ourselves \& lots of important texts are not in the Library. |
| Inter-area (EA, CTL) | Better choice of rooms |
| Inter-area (CTL, SI) | Out of print books |
| Inter-area (EA, SI) | Time - there's not a lot of time for planning, the break between winter and spring was not sufficient for program planning in an extremely effective manner. |
| EWS (SPBC) | Unlimited budget |
| EWS (SI) | Time to prepare ahead of time with my co-teacher. |
| EWS (SPBC) | Heat in the lecture halls on Saturday without having to ask for it separately! Coffee available for students before 9AM. A single-room computer instruction space, or afternoon time available in the CAL. |
| EWS (CTL) | More time to read each of the books before the program begins. I think that would have helped us incorporate the math more smoothly - made it really intrinsic. |
| EWS (SPBC) | Would like to see some help in selecting texts and video more broadly. I spend hours and hours researching texts, and then feel rushed to make decision before deadline. Maybe a shared pool of reviews by faculty or something? |
| EWS (SPBC) | Heat in lecture halls on Saturday without having to ask for it separately! Coffee available for students before 9AM! Access to the Evans scholar through some kind of weekend activity (or evening activity publicized early enough for us to plan it in). |
| EWS (EA) | More help from the Scene Shop - Jill was very busy, but did a good job in spite of this. I need dual projectors in Lecture Hall 5 to teach art history better! |


| EWS (SPBC) | Better base of knowledge of my own; better music software with easier access to it. |
| :--- | :--- |
| EWS (SPBC) | More time |
| EWS (SPBC) | More time for planning new programs; teaching support staffing (TA's); work study $\$ \$$ or <br> institutional \$\$ available. |
| SI | I would have liked videos for certain presentations |
| SI | A mentor for this new academic environment. I've been teaching a long time, but this <br> is a new kind of learning environment to adjust to. |
| SI | Planning: more time in spring quarter - a 2-day retreat. <br> Teaching: more application software on laptops in lecture halls. |
| SI | QRC Director to work more collegially with program faculty. Need to listen to faculty and try <br> to understand and meet our program goals, instead of advancing own personal goals. <br> Wish we had more reliable secretarial support; sometimes they are helpful. Can we clone <br> Pam Udovich? She is wonderful. Please let's upgrade to the latest version of InQsit, which <br> support equations. |
| SI | Space for project teams to meet, store stuff; one meeting place regularly. |
| SPBC | Better A/V technology; more than once a week in LH5, we were stuck in L3500 fall \& winter. |
| SPBC | A thorough self-evaluation of the previous course. |

## Resources Faculty Wish They Had for Planning or Teaching Their Programs Results from the End-of-Program Review -- Academic Year 2002-03 <br> $\mathrm{N}=74$ programs responded (which was $55 \%$ of all programs).

39 of the responding programs (53\%) identified resources that they wished they had for their programs. Below are all of their comments, which identify a number of resource issues with facilities, media support, Library resources, personnel, time, technology, program planning, and program budgets. The phrases most closely related to planning/training, diversity issues, and curriculum development are in bold print.

| Program planning <br> unit | Resources faculty wish they had for planning/teaching their programs |
| :--- | :--- |
| CORE - First-Year <br> Program <br> (Expressive Arts; <br> Scientific Inquiry) | More time. |
| CORE - First-Year <br> Program <br> (Culture Text <br> Language) | A studio room with open access. Nicer people on campus who don't think their space is overloaded <br> with just 40 students using it (clay!, wood, metal) - serious under-utilization of resources on campus. <br> Faculty seniority shouldn't matter - students should benefit equally. Something should be done so <br> people don't get ownership attitudes. As far as workshops, the ones I took last summer were a <br> serious waste of time - sorry! |
| CORE - First-Year <br> Program <br> (Expressive Arts; <br> Environmental <br> Studies) | More access to convenient audio/video/projection classrooms. In fall we had to set-up/bring in <br> technology to present lectures in Longhouse. In winter we needed more than 3 hours in the lecture <br> hall, but couldn't work it out due to high demand. |
| CORE - First-Year <br> Program <br> (Expressive Arts; <br> Scientific Inquiry) | More staff support. More lab aides to keep the COM Design Lab open longer hours. For teaching <br> interdisciplinary programs where different disciplinary skills need to be taught simultaneously, we <br> need to have more media equipment and labs available. This is a real bottleneck, because we are <br> not set up to take 40 students through the same skill set simultaneously. More data and overhead <br> projectors housed in each building (i.e. overhead in COM Bldg for when science folks come over, <br> another data projector in the CAL, another floater data projector in the COM). The concept of <br> student tutors and lab aides is great, but some need to be trained to be more pro-active in <br> classes and lab settings. |
| CORE - First-Year <br> Program <br> (Society Politics <br> Behavior Change) | We need more counselors for people who have serious emotional, medical, and psychological <br> issues. I cannot stress this enough. We have students with SERIOUS needs that are impacting their <br> abilities to function in class. |


| CORE - First-Year <br> Program <br> (Culture Text <br> Language; <br> Expressive Arts) | We had planned to do a series of four more quantitative workshops with Louis Nadelson as part of our winter studio work in size and scale; his abrupt departure for a new job in Las Vegas over Christmas vacation (which we learned about only second hand) led to our canceling those plans. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Culture Text Language | Library print budget woefully low. |
| Culture Text Language | More planning time. |
| Culture Text Language | There is a huge lack of resources on Africa, so larger budget to aid this would be nice. Also money to actually pay the Teaching Assistants and writing tutors. |
| Environmental Studies | I wished that I had a dedicated room, where we could always meet, and where I did not have to set the technology up anew every class period. This would be immensely helpful in the future. Also, a lab room where students could do captive animal experiments would be helpful. |
| Environmental Studies | Access to better cooking facilities at the farmhouse and access to better media sources at the farmhouse. |
| Environmental Studies | More materials for dissection. More animal skulls for vertebrate zoology. |
| Environmental Studies | Community resource center; updated chemical instrumentation. |
| Environmental Studies | It would be nice if the college had designated drivers for the van services, so that we did not have to use so much time worrying about who could and could not drive as well as time used to coordinate training for use of the vans. It would be nice to not have this be such a huge issue. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | Additional paid planning time would have been wonderful. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | More time. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | Larger budget for class necessities. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | I can imagine web-based tools for planning and scheduling (but this would not be trivial to implement). |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | A larger budget for class necessities. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | It would be nice to have a smaller student/faculty ratio to allow for more time for student feedback. That is a main difference between full-time program faculty and part-time faculty is that they have that extra time to work more with students individually when needed. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | It would be great to have a more thorough law collection and an immediately-useful internet law subscription service with a library who could teach its use. This resource was in transition as we taught. If the State Law Library were open weekends, it would have greatly aided our students. But, all in all, no serious resource deficit, though it was sometimes hard to find a room to show films, and they were a central part of the program. The room reservation system allows people to block out rooms that they're not necessarily using. The folks at reservations responded quite fast on a weekly basis though. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | A teaching room with dual slide projectors in a projection booth and classroom lights that dimmed and a smart podium to control the projectors, etc. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | Wood shop support for building reproductions of ancient measuring devices would have been a great help. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | Well, maybe some more help with the Portland field trip. We went on the train and in vans. Much of the point was to experience the train, and we traveled on light rail. If the college had some arrangements with Amtrak (their office was VERY unhelpful) or some advance advice about travel, that might have helped. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | Summer institute time! Even for a spring program. We just didn't plan far enough in advance. |
| Evening/ Weekend Studies | Pen pals and/or video conferencing with university students and faculty in the Middle East (attempted but fell through). |
| Expressive Arts | The 3D studio needs to be updated and improved greatly. |
| Expressive Arts | More funds to support projects, more planning time, and a higher salary. |
| Inter-Area Program (Culture Text Language; Society Politics Behavior Change) | We were fortunate to have an excess of money left over from fall quarter due to changes we were forced to make in the program, and we were able to fund the certification of all our students as mediators. In the future, however, I would request the $\$ 400$ per student to accommodate future mediation certification of all my students. |


| Inter-Area Program (Society Politics Behavior Change) | The cedar room in the Longhouse was a wonderful spiritual space that I wish we had more time in. I also feel that the Deans do not feel we are academically rigorous and challenging and wish they would take the time to view our web page to see all the time and work that does in fact go into our program as the work we do is very well noted there. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Scientific Inquiry | 1) An effective and quantitative assessment of whether students' skills in math, QR, Critical Reasoning, writing improved or not. 2) Software to deliver simple self-tests, and long homework. <br> 3) Help for students in evaluating faculty. |
| Scientific Inquiry | Time; lower student-faculty ratio; a water quality analysis laboratory; stable isotope mass spectrometer; an inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometer; better electronic gear for analysis of water quality in the field. |
| Scientific Inquiry | More money! Our budget was cut $\$ 1500$ from last year, and we had more students spring quarter than last year. To cut corners and scrimp and save, we made all handouts, take-home exams, etc. downloadable from the program web site as well as other measures. |
| Scientific Inquiry | A co-teacher. Don Middendorf and I have been cooperating on a topic that overlaps between our programs. I wish we could find a way to really join these small upper-division programs. |
| Society Politics Behavior Change | More time to plan before spring began (tough coming off a 2-quarter program with only oneweek "break"). Better library resources, especially films and videos; I had to buy two videos out of my budget, since our collection is very limited and other libraries blocked rentals of their films. |
| Society Politics Behavior Change | More multi-modal teaching spaces to accommodate programs with a larger enrollment. |
| Society Politics Behavior Change | Funds to bring in adjuncts for short 2-3 session workshops. |
| Society Politics Behavior Change | Media Loan is a disaster. The people, of course, are great. But, it's unreasonable to demand faculty to stand in line, roll equipment around, figure out how to use the equipment, take it back when it doesn't work, delay class to fix it, and then return it after class. Get some work studies to deliver, set-up, and problem solve this equipment for us. Every faculty member I know complains about this. We used a lot less technology in our program than we would have/could have if we had support to use it. There are lots of students looking for work. Use them! Also - our overhead projectors are old, dirty, scratched, and of extremely poor quality. I am not making this up - I had better overheads five years ago when I taught in Russia. It's embarrassing. If you want to improve teaching and learning - put some money here and redo the way Media Loan handles equipment for programs. |
| Tacoma Program | Broader library access beyond the available on-line and courier-mediated services; small library of curriculum-specific books and videos; additional media-related resources, particularly digital video cameras, tripods, lighting and sound equipment; TV monitors and VCR's in all classrooms; Daedalus Integrated Writing Environment software; a faculty networked printer; larger academic program budget; more paid student aides. |

## Duration and Ending Quarter of Programs (Including half-time programs)

|  | $2001-02$ | $2002-03$ | $2003-04$ | $2004-05$ | $2005-06$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One-quarter programs ending in Fall | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| One-quarter programs ending in Winter | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| One-quarter programs ending in Spring | $32 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Two-quarter programs ending in Winter | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Two-quarter programs ending in Spring | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Three-quarter programs | $27 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



## Duration of Programs (Proportion of 1, 2, and 3-quarter programs)

|  | $2001-02$ | $2002-03$ | $2003-04$ | $2004-05$ | $2005-06$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One-quarter programs | $49 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Two-quarter programs | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Three-quarter programs | $27 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
|  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



Transcript Review 2005
( $\mathrm{N}=158$ transcripts assessed from class of 2003-04)

## Summary of Evidence for depth, breadth, and culminating project

Depth:
$77 \%$ adequate or higher ( $\mathrm{N}=121 / 158$ )

## Breadth:

41\% adequate or higher ( $\mathrm{N}=65 / 158$ )

## Culminating Senior Project:

## $31 \%$ had evidence of culmination ( $\mathrm{N}=49 / 158$ )

fin_e6.1 final rating Depth of Learning

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid . 0 no evidence | 9 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| 1.0 weak evidence | 21 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 19.0 |
| 1.5 | 7 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 23.4 |
| 2.0 adequate evidence | 45 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 51.9 |
| 2.5 | 11 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 58.9 |
| 3.0 strong evidence | 65 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 158 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

fin_e6.2 final rating Breadth of Learning

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | .0 no evidence | 30 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
|  | .5 | 1 | .6 | .6 | 19.6 |
|  | 48 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 50.0 |  |
| 1.0 weak evidence | 14 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 58.9 |  |
| 1.5 | 44 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 86.7 |  |
| 2.0 adequate evidence | 7 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 91.1 |  |
| 2.5 | 14 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 100.0 |  |
| 3.0 strong evidence | 158 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

fin_e6.5 final: evidence of senior experience

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | N no | 109 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 |
|  | Y yes | 49 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 158 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## The Evergreen State College

## Evergreen Student Experience Survey 2006

Responses of Olympia Campus Students

## Primary Area of Study or Concentration by Planning Unit - Individual Level Responses



 protect the confidentiality of respondents.

| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Society, Politics, Behavior and Change Only ( $\mathrm{N}=97$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| business | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support |  |  |  |
| Business | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support |  |  |  |
| Business | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support |  |  |  |
| Business | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support |  |  |  |
| Business | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support |  |  |  |
| Business Admin | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support |  |  |  |
| Business emphasis, but right now, social justice classes. | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support | Social Sciences |  |  |
| Business, Political Science, International Studies | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support | Social Sciences | Multi/Interdisciplinary |  |
| HRM, Conflict Management/Resolution | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |
| Human Resource Management. | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support |  |  |  |
| Non-profit management and Alternative Media | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support | Communication, Journalism, and Related |  |  |
| non-profits businessness/Social Services | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support | Public Administration and Social Service |  |  |
| Advertising and Mass Media | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |  |
| Journalism | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |  |
| Journalism | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |  |
| Journalism, communication | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |  |
| Social Documentary Studies | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |  |
| Contemplative Education | Education |  |  |  |
| education | Education |  |  |  |
| education | Education |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| education | Education |  |  |  |
| education | Education |  |  |  |
| education | Education |  |  |  |
| Education | Education |  |  |  |
| Education | Education |  |  |  |
| Education | Education |  |  |  |
| Education | Education |  |  |  |
| Education | Education |  |  |  |
| Education (MIT) Preparation | Education |  |  |  |
| Elementary Education | Education |  |  |  |
| I haven't really decided, but I'm leaning towards either education or business | Education | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services |  |  |
| Indian education | Education |  |  |  |
| K-8 Education | Education |  |  |  |
| outdoor leadership | Education |  |  |  |
| Outdoor Leadership/Outdoor Education | Education |  |  |  |
| Secondary Education | Education |  |  |  |
| law | Legal Professions and Studies |  |  |  |
| Law | Legal Professions and Studies |  |  |  |
| pre law | Legal Professions and Studies |  |  |  |
| Pre-Law | Legal Professions and Studies |  |  |  |
| educational psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| human development, particularly child development | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Human Development, Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Human Development, Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology and Social Justice | Psychology | Social Sciences |  |  |
| Psychology with a sacred twist | Psychology |  |  |  |
| Psychology, Sociology | Psychology | Social Sciences |  |  |
| psychology/sociology | Psychology | Social Sciences |  |  |
| psychology/sociology | Psychology | Social Sciences |  |  |
| Psychotherapy | Psychology |  |  |  |
| [Currently studying] YOUTH HOMELESSNESS | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |  |
| community organizing | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |  |
| Political Administration | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |  |
| Public Admin., Business Admin. | Public Administration and Social Service Professions | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services |  |  |
| Public Administration | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |  |
| Social Work | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |  |
| Social Work Psychology | Public Administration and Social Service Professions | Psychology |  |  |
| Social work..Internatiional solidarity work | Public Administration and Social Service Professions | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |
| Anthropology | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Ecomnomic theory | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| International Relations | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Political Economy | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Political Economy | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Political Economy | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Political Economy | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Political Economy | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| political economy social movements | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Political economy, Peace and Justice Studies. | Social Sciences | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |
| Political Economy/Political Science | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| political science | Social Sciences |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Political Science | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Political Science / Social Work | Social Sciences | Public Administration a Professions |  |  |
| Political Studies | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Politics, Social Sciences | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Social Science | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| social sciences | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Social Sciences, Education | Social Sciences | Education |  |  |
| social studies, including political, economic, and social fields at micro and macro level | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Society, Politics, and [cuts off] | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| sociology | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| sociology | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Sociology | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| sociology and political science | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Sociology, Juvenile Justice | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Sociology, Social sciences | Social Sciences |  |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Scientific Inquiry Only ( $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{5 3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| biology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Biology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Biology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Biology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| biology and chemistry | Biological and Biomedical Sciences | Physical Sciences |  |  |
| Biology but I take a lot of Chemistry too. | Biological and Biomedical Sciences | Physical Sciences |  |  |
| Field biology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Field Biology, Natural History, Ornithology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Hopefully Animal Behavior | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Marine Biology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Marine Science | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Marine Science | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| natural sciences | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zoology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Zoology, Biology, Ornithology | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Computer Science | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services |  |  |  |
| Computer Science | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services |  |  |  |
| Computer Science | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services |  |  |  |
| Computer Science - Network Security | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services |  |  |  |
| Computers | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services |  |  |  |
| Community Health? [question mark written by student, not a question of handwriting] | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| health sciences | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Health Sciences (biochemistry, organic chemistry, etc.) | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Multicultural Counseling | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Music therapy | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| pre med- anatomy, midwifery | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Pre med, Micro biology | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Pre-Med, Sciences | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |
| Pre-med: Alternative/herbal medicine | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| public health | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Public Health | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Yoga | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Math and Computer Science | Mathematics and Statistics | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services |  |  |
| mathematics | Mathematics and Statistics |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | Mathematics and Statistics |  |  |  |
| mathematics, biochemistry | Mathematics and Statistics | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Bachelor in Science | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Courses to receive BS | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |  |
| Science | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |  |
| Science, BS in Life Science or Laboratory Science | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Science, Pre-med | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences |  |  |
| Science. | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |  |
| Sciences--chemistry/biology | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies | Physical Sciences | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |
| Scientific Inquiry | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |  |
| Chemistry | Physical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Chemistry | Physical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Chemistry | Physical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Chemistry and Physics | Physical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Chemistry, Biology | Physical Sciences | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Organic chemistry/molecular biology | Physical Sciences | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Physics | Physical Sciences |  |  |  |
| research analysis-energy related studies/electricity transmission | Physical Sciences |  |  |  |
| soil science | Physical Sciences |  |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Culture, Text, and Language Only (N=42) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| african culture/literature | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |  |
| Cultural and Ethnic Studies, African American Studies | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |  |
| Cultural Studies | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |  |
| Cultural Studies | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |  |
| Latin American Studies, Spanish | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |
| Women's Studies | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |  |
| Been getting standard english/history credits through the programs. | English Language and Literature/Letters | History |  |  |
| Creative Writing | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creative Writing \& Literature | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Creative Writing, American Studies | English Language and Literature/Letters | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| English | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| English | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| English and French literature | English Language and Literature/Letters | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |
| English and History | English Language and Literature/Letters | History |  |  |
| English, Creative Writing | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| English/Writing | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Language and Literature | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Language Arts | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Literature | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Literature | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| literature and cultural studies | English Language and Literature/Letters | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| literature and philosophy | English Language and Literature/Letters | Philosophy and Religious Studies |  |  |
| Literature and writing | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Writing | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Writing and American Sign Language | English Language and Literature/Letters | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |
| Writing--creative, poetry | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |  |
| Wrting and Queer Theory/Studies | English Language and Literature/Letters | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| Classical Studies | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |  |
| Classical Studies, Latin, Philosophy of Law | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | Philosophy and Religious Studies |  |  |
| Classics | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |  |
| Language, Text and Culture: Spanish/Latin American Culture | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| Linguistics | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |  |
| American History | History |  |  |  |
| History | History |  |  |  |
| History | History |  |  |  |
| History, French \& English, Cultural | History | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | English Language and Literature/Letters | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| History, Literature, and Linguistics | History | English Language and Literature/Letters | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |
| Information Science (librarian studies) | Library Science |  |  |  |
| Ancient and Modern Philosophy, Latin, Ancient Greek | Philosophy and Religious Studies | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |
| Ethics | Philosophy and Religious Studies |  |  |  |
| integral post-metaphysics | Philosophy and Religious Studies |  |  |  |
| political philosophy | Philosophy and Religious Studies |  |  |  |
|  | Primary Area(s) of Interest in Expressive | rts Only ( $\mathrm{N}=40$ ) |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Animation and art | Communication Technologies/Technicians and Support Services | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| music technology | Communication Technologies/Technicians and Support Services |  |  |  |
| art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Art and Art History | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Art History | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| art history and photography | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Art, Photography | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| arts, drawing | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| film | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Film | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Film and music. | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Film, Electronic Music | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Film, Video, Photography | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Filmmaking | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Filmmaking | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fine art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| fine arts | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Fine Arts | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Fine Arts, Painting and Drawing | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| fine arts/ painting | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Graphic Design | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Japanese Ceramic Art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Multimedia Fine Arts | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Music, Multimedia Art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| photography | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| photography | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Photography | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Photography, Arts and Crafts | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Realistic and Public Art, namely sculpture (bronze). | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| theater | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Theater | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Theater \& Music | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Video Production (Narrative Filmmaking) | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Visual Art | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Visual Art and Art History | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
| Visual Arts | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |  |
|  | Primary Area(s) of Interest | tudies Only ( $\mathrm{N}=30$ ) |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Sustainable agriculture | Agriculture, Agricultural Operations, |  |  |  |
| Sustainable Agriculture | Agriculture, Agricultural Operations, |  |  |  |
| Sustainable Design | Architecture and Related Services |  |  |  |
| Sustainable Design | Architecture and Related Services |  |  |  |
| sustainable design and sustainable agriculture | Architecture and Related Services | Agriculture, Agricultura Related Sciences |  |  |
| Ecological studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ecology | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Ecology | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Ecology/Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental science | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Science | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Science | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Science | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Science | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Sciences | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Sciences | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Sciences | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Environmental Studies with a focus in land use, urban studies, and planning | Natural Resources and Conservation Architecture and Related Services |  |  |
| Evolutionary ecology | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Practical skills for sustainable living | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Riparian ecology, through the Environmental Studies program. | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| sustainable living | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
|  | mary Area(s) of Interest in General/Liberal Arts/Bachelor or Arts Only (N=11) |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Completion of BA | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |
| Finish bachelor's degree as per upside down degree. | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |
| General education | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |
| General Studies | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| humanities | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |  |
| Humanities | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |  |
| Humanities | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |  |
| liberal arts | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |  |
| Liberal Arts | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |  |
| Liberal Arts | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |  |
| liberal arts/humanities | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Culture, Text and Language and Expressive Arts ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| culture studies/film | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Japanese language study and film | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| art/art history concentration or languages. | Visual and Performing Arts | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |
| Film, Writing, Visual Arts | Visual and Performing Arts | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |
| Fine Art, Creative Writing | Visual and Performing Arts | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |
| Graphic Arts, Writing | Visual and Performing Arts | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |
| music, literature | Visual and Performing Arts | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |
| photography and writing | Visual and Performing Arts | English Language and Literature/Letters |  |  |
| theatre arts, linguistics | Visual and Performing Arts | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Culture, Text and Language and Society, Politics, Behavior, and Change ( $\mathrm{N}=17$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| cultural studies, human development | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Psychology |  |  |
| education and Spanish language | Education | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics |  |  |
| ...Student Leadership and Development. The programs I completed were based on social issues, culture and leadership. | Education | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Social Sciences |  |
| Writing, Women's studies, Psychology | English Language and Literature/Letters | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Psychology |  |
| Foreign Languages, Childhood Development/Teaching | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | Education |  |  |
| Japanese and Foreign Relations | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | Social Sciences |  |  |
| philosophy, sociology | Philosophy and Religious Studies | Social Sciences |  |  |
| Child psychology and gender studies. | Psychology | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Psychology and Cultural Studies | Psychology | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| Community \& Cultural Studies (Culture, Text \& Language) | Public Administration and Social Service Professions | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| Anthropology, Spanish, Early Childhood Education | Social Sciences | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | Education |  |
| Anthropology/Mythology | Social Sciences | Philosophy and Religious Studies |  |  |
| political economics (and latin america) | Social Sciences | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| Politics and American Studies right now, but I'm planning on taking all psychology and behavioral sciences from now on. | Social Sciences | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Psychology |  |
| Social Sciences, Anthropology, Family History, Philosophy | Social Sciences | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Philosophy and Religiou |  |
| Sociology and Religious History. | Social Sciences | Philosophy and Religious Studies |  |  |
| Sociology, Cultural studies, history, \& maybe accounting | Social Sciences | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | History | Business, Management, M |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Culture, Text and Language and Scientific Inquiry (N=2) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| American sign language and sciences | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguistics | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |
| I am torn between sciences and something to do with language. | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies | Foreign Languages, Literature, and Linguis |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Expressive Arts and Environmental Studies ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| AGRICULTURE, WOODWORKING | Agriculture, Agricultural Operations, and Relat | , Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| arts and ecology | Visual and Performing Arts | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Expressive Arts and General/Liberal Arts/Bachelor or Arts ( $\mathrm{N}=3$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Humanities, Dance | Liberal arts and sciences | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| humanities, visual arts | Liberal arts and sciences | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Consciousness studies... performance art. | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Expressive Arts and Scientific Inquiry ( $\mathrm{N}=4$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Biology, Music | Biological and Biomedical Sciences | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Mathematics; links between math and art | Mathematics and Statistics | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Art, Graphic Desgin, Marine Biology | Visual and Performing Arts | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BA Music, Computer Science | Visual and Performing Arts | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Expressive Arts and Society, Politics, Behavior and Change ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Business Management and Performing Arts | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| business, expressive arts, communication | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services | Visual and Performing Arts | Communication, Jour |  |
| Psychology, Fine Arts | Psychology | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Psychology, Photography | Psychology | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Youth outreach, Expressive arts | Public Administration and Social Service Profes | Visual and Performing Arts |  |  |
| Sociology/communications, minor in Dance | Social Sciences | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs | Visual and Performing |  |
| Art, Education | Visual and Performing Arts | Education |  |  |
| Art, research, social science. | Visual and Performing Arts | Social Sciences |  |  |
| film and media | Visual and Performing Arts | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |
| Film and Media production | Visual and Performing Arts | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |
| Music Business \& Poli-Sci | Visual and Performing Arts | Social Sciences |  |  |
| Music Business or education | Visual and Performing Arts | Education |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Environmental Studies and Scientific Inquiry ( $\mathrm{N}=5$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Marine Science, Environmental Studies | Biological and Biomedical Sciences | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Ecology, Biology | Natural Resources and Conservation | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Enviromental Studies, Habitat, vetebrate biology | Natural Resources and Conservation | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Environmental Science - Geology/Hydrology | Natural Resources and Conservation | Physical Sciences |  |  |
| Sort of, vaguely, Environment/Botany | Natural Resources and Conservation | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Environmental Studies and Society, Politics, Behavior and Change ( $\mathrm{N}=7$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ecological design and community studies | Architecture and Related Services | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |
| Environmental, Sociology [sic.] | Natural Resources and Conservation | Social Sciences |  |  |
| Natural Resources and Public Administration | Natural Resources and Conservation | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |
| Psychology, Communications, Sustainable Design | Psychology | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs | Architecture and Rela |  |
| Public administration, urban studies, community development, sustainability | Public Administration and Social Service Professions | Architecture and Related Services | Natural Resources anc |  |
| economics, business, natural resource policy, etc. | Social Sciences | Business, Management, Marketing and Related Support Services | Natural Resources anc |  |
| Social Justice, Environmental Studies | Social Sciences | Natural Resources and Conservation |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in General/Liberal Arts/Bachelor or Arts and Native American and World Indigenous and Peoples ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| BA Liberal Arts in Native American Sciences | Liberal arts and sciences | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in General/Liberal Arts/Bachelor or Arts and Scientific Inquiry ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Science/Consciousness Studies | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies |  |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in General/Liberal Arts/Bachelor or Arts and Society, Politics, Behavior, and Change ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Humanities; focus on communication | Liberal arts and sciences | Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs |  |  |
| Psychology, Liberal Arts | Psychology | Liberal arts and sciences |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Native American and World Indigenous Peoples' and Society, Politics, Behavior, and Change ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Native American/Anthropological | Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies | Social Sciences |  |  |
| Primary Area(s) of Interest in Scientific Inquiry and Society, Politics, Behavior, and Change ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Student Response | First CIP Category | Second CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| Computer Sciences, Social and Manangement Services | Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services | Public Administration and Social Service Professions |  |  |


| Student Response | First CIP Category | Third CIP Category | Fourth CIP Category |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The sciences (computer/natural/social) | Computer and Information Sciences and Support <br> Services | Second CIP Category | Biological and Biomedical Sciences |
| BABS education and earth sciences | Sducation |  |  |
| chemical dependency Pyscholigy | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | Physical Sciences |  |
| Counseling and Psychology | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | Psychology |  |
| Counseling and Social Justice | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | Social Sciences |  |
| Dietetics, Social Sciences | Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences | Social Sciences |  |
| Science, Political Economy | Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies | Social Sciences |  |
| Psychology and Counseling | Psychology | Health Professions and Related Clinical |  |
| Social sciences and Chemistry. |  | Sciences |  |

## Curricular Visions

## Selected Curriculum Trends 1997-98 to 2005-06

## 1. First-Year Fulltime Programs

There has been a shift in terms of where first-year slots are located in the full-time curriculum. Over this period, a growing proportion of first-years enrolled in All-level program seats compared to Core programs. By 2005-06, $48 \%$ of the first-year program FTE was generated in All-level and $47 \%$ was generated in Core programs.


Has this shift had implications for the amount of first-year FTE generated in Inter-area programs compared to FTE from programs taught within a single planning unit? The overall trend is that less first-year program FTE is generated in programs taught by inter-area faculty; however, this shift is not clearly attributable to the increase in all-level first-year programs. In fact, there has been quite a bit of annual variance in terms of the percent of all-level and Core programs that were taught by inter-area faculty.


| \% of Core/LowDiv FTE that <br> was Interarea <br> \% of First-year All-Level <br> Program FTE that was | $61.0 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interarea <br> \% of TOTAL First-year <br> Program FTE that was <br> Interarea | $60.4 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | $45.1 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ |

## 2. Olympia Fulltime Program FTE Distribution

Another way of exploring curricular trends is to look at the distribution of FTE generated in fulltime programs in terms of whether the programs were specifically Core programs, Interarea programs, or programs taught by faculty within a single planning unit. The raw FTE data is provided on the next page, and it is followed by a version of the data which shows the proportion of full-time program FTE in each planning unit that was generated through each type of program.

Generally speaking, more FTE is generated in single planning unit programs than in Core programs or non-Core Interarea programs. However, looking across the past nine years, there has been an increase in the proportion of fulltime program FTE generated through Interarea programs. Academic year 2005-06 was the high point in this time period in terms of program FTE generated in non-Core Interarea programs.


In looking across fulltime program FTE generated by each planning unit, a few general trends emerge. Culture, Text, and Language and Environmental Studies are generating less fulltime program FTE than they were at the beginning of this period. Society, Politics, Behavior, and Change and the Native American World Indigenous Peoples planning units are generating substantially more fulltime program FTE. Expressive Arts and Scientific Inquiry emerged from a low point in 1997-98 to a new higher level which continued over the next eight years.
Annual Average Undergraduate FTE Generated in Fulltime Olympia Programs

## Olympia Undergraduate Fulltime Program FTE Distribution by Planning Unit

Data notes: These data exclude courses, part-time programs, contracts, and internships taught by members of the unit; only full-time programs are explored in this analysis. Core, Interarea, and Lower Division program FTE is divided proportionally between the planning units of the faculty who taught in the program each quarter. Lower Division programs were specified for freshmen and sophomore students beginning in 2004-05, and their FTE's are included with Core enrollment in 2004-05 and 2005-06.

|  | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-98 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CTL Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 143.3 | 140.2 | 116.1 | 134.4 | 167.7 | 127.7 | 137.8 | 90.7 | 102.8 |
| CTL Interarea Program FTE | 187.6 | 153.1 | 62.1 | 145.4 | 145.0 | 213.5 | 108.0 | 210.2 | 216.0 |
| All Other CTL FT program FTE | 505.4 | 437.1 | 422.5 | 429.4 | 421.2 | 386.3 | 490.6 | 385.7 | 402.1 |
| Culture Text Language FT Program Total | 836.3 | 730.4 | 600.7 | 709.2 | 733.9 | 727.5 | 736.4 | 686.6 | 720.9 |
| ES Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 102.7 | 46.7 | 74.9 | 73.8 | 101.7 | 54.9 | 27.0 | 36.9 | 57.2 |
| ES Interarea Program FTE | 100.7 | 61.4 | 50.3 | 58.9 | 44.3 | 46.6 | 29.2 | 41.0 | 88.6 |
| All Other ES FT program FTE | 293.5 | 224.6 | 285.2 | 224.1 | 240.6 | 218.4 | 249.6 | 261.0 | 220.0 |
| Environmental Studies FT Program Total | 496.9 | 332.7 | 410.4 | 356.8 | 386.6 | 319.9 | 305.8 | 338.9 | 365.8 |
| EA Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 37.2 | 102.6 | 119.5 | 80.9 | 48.4 | 60.6 | 61.7 | 102.0 | 42.0 |
| EA Interarea Program FTE | 65.8 | 106.9 | 50.9 | 74.9 | 151.9 | 119.8 | 145.1 | 76.8 | 193.1 |
| All Other EA FT program FTE | 212.0 | 241.6 | 308.0 | 269.7 | 215.6 | 299.7 | 217.6 | 248.5 | 214.9 |
| Expressive Arts FT Program Total | 315.0 | 451.1 | 478.4 | 425.5 | 415.9 | 480.1 | 424.4 | 427.3 | 450.0 |
| NAWIP Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 |
| NAWIP Interarea Program FTE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.9 | 22.4 | 60.2 | 25.6 | 95.1 |
| All Other NAWIP FT program FTE | 62.1 | 39.4 | 46.0 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 92.7 | 10.9 |
| Native American and World Indigenous People FT Program Total | 62.1 | 39.4 | 46.0 | 53.1 | 35.5 | 22.4 | 70.6 | 118.3 | 112.5 |
| SI Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 17.6 | 62.8 | 50.1 | 39.1 | 27.4 | 34.8 | 39.4 | 7.1 | 38.1 |
| SI Interarea Program FTE | 41.9 | 61.1 | 97.2 | 61.1 | 124.2 | 57.9 | 86.7 | 52.4 | 75.3 |
| All Other SI FT program FTE | 267.5 | 311.7 | 314.6 | 291.3 | 285.8 | 297.5 | 298.7 | 337.4 | 288.7 |
| Scientific Inquiry FT Program Total | 327.0 | 435.6 | 461.9 | 391.5 | 437.4 | 390.2 | 424.8 | 396.9 | 402.1 |
| SPBC Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 29.2 | 55.4 | 81.3 | 95.2 | 30.0 | 91.7 | 28.6 | 88.5 | 88.3 |
| SPBC Interarea Program FTE | 21.1 | 106.6 | 66.5 | 91.0 | 134.5 | 159.8 | 234.8 | 118.5 | 213.9 |
| All Other SPBC FT program FTE | 213.0 | 197.6 | 207.6 | 196.1 | 209.2 | 271.0 | 251.3 | 310.0 | 180.0 |
| Society Politics Behavior Change FT Program Total | 263.3 | 359.6 | 355.4 | 382.3 | 373.7 | 522.5 | 514.7 | 517.0 | 482.2 |
| TOTAL OLY FT PROGRAM FTE | 2300.6 | 2348.8 | 2352.8 | 2318.4 | 2383.0 | 2462.6 | 2476.7 | 2485.0 | 2533.5 |

## Proportion of Each Planning Unit's Total Fulltime Program FTE by Type of Program

|  | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-98 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CTL Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 17.1\% | 19.2\% | 19.3\% | 19.0\% | 22.9\% | 17.6\% | 18.7\% | 13.2\% | 14.3\% |
| CTL Interarea Program FTE | 22.4\% | 21.0\% | 10.3\% | 20.5\% | 19.8\% | 29.3\% | 14.7\% | 30.6\% | 30.0\% |
| All Other CTL FT program FTE | 60.4\% | 59.8\% | 70.3\% | 60.5\% | 57.4\% | 53.1\% | 66.6\% | 56.2\% | 55.8\% |
| ES Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 20.7\% | 14.0\% | 18.3\% | 20.7\% | 26.3\% | 17.2\% | 8.8\% | 10.9\% | 15.6\% |
| ES Interarea Program FTE | 20.3\% | 18.5\% | 12.3\% | 16.5\% | 11.5\% | 14.6\% | 9.5\% | 12.1\% | 24.2\% |
| All Other ES FT program FTE | 59.1\% | 67.5\% | 69.5\% | 62.8\% | 62.2\% | 68.3\% | 81.6\% | 77.0\% | 60.1\% |
| EA Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 11.8\% | 22.7\% | 25.0\% | 19.0\% | 11.6\% | 12.6\% | 14.5\% | 23.9\% | 9.3\% |
| EA Interarea Program FTE | 20.9\% | 23.7\% | 10.6\% | 17.6\% | 36.5\% | 25.0\% | 34.2\% | 18.0\% | 42.9\% |
| All Other EA FT program FTE | 67.3\% | 53.6\% | 64.4\% | 63.4\% | 51.8\% | 62.4\% | 51.3\% | 58.2\% | 47.8\% |
| NAWIP Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 23.9\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.8\% |
| NAWIP Interarea Program FTE | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 78.6\% | 100.0\% | 85.3\% | 21.6\% | 84.5\% |
| All Other NAWIP FT program FTE | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 76.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 78.4\% | 9.7\% |
| SI Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 5.4\% | 14.4\% | 10.8\% | 10.0\% | 6.3\% | 8.9\% | 9.3\% | 1.8\% | 9.5\% |
| SI Interarea Program FTE | 12.8\% | 14.0\% | 21.0\% | 15.6\% | 28.4\% | 14.8\% | 20.4\% | 13.2\% | 18.7\% |
| All Other SI FT program FTE | 81.8\% | 71.6\% | 68.1\% | 74.4\% | 65.3\% | 76.2\% | 70.3\% | 85.0\% | 71.8\% |
| SPBC Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 11.1\% | 15.4\% | 22.9\% | 24.9\% | 8.0\% | 17.6\% | 5.6\% | 17.1\% | 18.3\% |
| SPBC Interarea Program FTE | 8.0\% | 29.6\% | 18.7\% | 23.8\% | 36.0\% | 30.6\% | 45.6\% | 22.9\% | 44.4\% |
| All Other SPBC FT program FTE | 80.9\% | 54.9\% | 58.4\% | 51.3\% | 56.0\% | 51.9\% | 48.8\% | 60.0\% | 37.3\% |
| TOTAL Core/LowDiv Program FTE | 14.3\% | 17.4\% | 18.8\% | 18.8\% | 16.1\% | 15.0\% | 11.9\% | 13.1\% | 13.2\% |
| TOTAL Interarea Program FTE | 18.1\% | 20.8\% | 13.9\% | 18.6\% | 26.3\% | 25.2\% | 26.8\% | 21.1\% | 34.8\% |
| TOTAL Single Planning Unit FT Program FTE | 67.5\% | 61.8\% | 67.3\% | 62.6\% | 57.6\% | 59.8\% | 61.3\% | 65.8\% | 52.0\% |
| TOTAL OLY FT PROGRAM FTE | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

